

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of:	- - - - -X	:	
		:	
THE INVESTIGATION OF THE		:	
USAIR, INC., FLIGHT 427,		:	
A BOEING 737-300, N513AU,		:	DOCKET NO. SA-510
		:	
ALIQUIPPA, PENNSYLVANIA,		:	
SEPTEMBER 8, 1994		:	
	- - - - -X	:	

Pittsburgh Hilton and Towers Hotel
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Friday, January 27, 1995

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing pursuant to notice, before JIM HALL, Chairman, at the Pittsburgh Hilton and Towers Hotel, 600 Commonwealth Place, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, on Friday, January 27, 1995, at 8:40 a.m., before:

Board of Inquiry

JIM HALL, Member, NTSB
Chairman

William G. Laynor, Deputy Director of
the Office of Aviation Safety

Ronald L. Schleede, Chief,
Major Investigations Division, Hearing Officer

John Clark, Chief, Vehicle Performance Division
Office of Research and Engineering

Michael L. Marx, Chief, Materials Laboratory
Division, Office of Research and Engineering

Technical Panel:

Thomas E. Haueter, Investigator-in-Charge,
Hearing Officer

Gregory Phillips, Senior Systems Investigator

Charles Leonard, Operations Investigator

Thomas Jacky, Vehicle Performance Investigator

Cynthia Keegan, Structures Investigator

Roff Sasser, Systems Investigator

Nora Marshall, Senior Survival Factors
Investigator

Staff:

Michael Benson, Office of Public Affairs

Jamie Finch, Special Assistant

Robert Francis, Board Member

Kenneth Jordan, Managing Director

Peter Goelz, Director of Congressional
and Intergovernmental Relations

Julie Beal, Director of Public Affairs Office

Shelly Hazle, Confidential Assistant

Carolyn Dargan, Administrative Assistant

Shirley Wright, Administrative Assistant

National Transportation Safety Board
National Safety Transportation Board
490 L'Enfant Plaza, SW
Washington, D.C. 20594

General Counsel:

Daniel Campbell, Director
Office of General Counsel, Washington, D.C.

Parties to the Hearing

Department of Transportation,
Federal Aviation Administration
Harold Donner
AAI-100
800 Independence Avenue, SW
Washington, D.C. 20591

USAir, Inc.
Captain Gene Sharp
115 Commerce Drive
RIDC Parkridge 2
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15275

Air Line Pilots Association
Captain Herb LeGrow
535 Herndon Parkway
Herndon, Virginia 22070

Boeing Commercial Airplane Group
John Parvis
7342 East Marginal Way, South
Building 3-800.3, Bay A2
Seattle, Washington 98108

Monsanto Company
Frank Jakse
800 N. Lindbergh Boulevard
St. Louis, Missouri 63167

Parker Hannifin Corporation
Steve Weik
14300 Alton Parkway
Irvine, California 92718-1814

International Association of
Machinists and Aerospace Workers
Jack Wurzel
73 Auburn Street
Saugus, Massachusetts 01906

C O N T E N T S

<u>Witness(es)</u>	<u>Page:</u>
WILLIAM TRAUB, VICE PRESIDENT FOR FLIGHT STANDARDS AND TRAINING FOR UNITED AIRLINES, DENVER, COLORADO	1265
GENERAL ROBERT OAKS, VICE PRESIDENT FOR CORPORATE SAFETY AND REGULATORY COMPLIANCE, USAIR, PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA	1288
CAPTAIN DAVID HYDE, TRAINING PILOT, BOEING COMMERCIAL AIRPLANE GROUP, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON	1330
DAVID L. BOWDEN, PRINCIPAL OPERATIONS INSPECTOR, FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION, PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA	1360

I N D E X

Witness(es)	<u>Page:</u>
CAPTAIN WILLIAM TRAUB	
by Schleede	1266
by Leonard	1267
by LeGrow	1279
by Donner	1282
by Schleede	1283
by Laynor	1286
GENERAL ROBERT OAKS	
by Schleede	1288
by Leonard	1291
by Sharp	1306
by Hall	1308
by Schleede	1310
by Laynor	1313
by Hall	1315
CAPTAIN DAVID HYDE	
by Schleede	1330
by Leonard	1331
by LeGrow	1348
by Purvis	1355
by Marx	1356
by Schleede	1357
DAVID L. BOWDEN	
by Schleede	1360
by Leonard	1362
by Hall	1379
by Leonard	1380
by LeGrow	1382
by Hall	1386

P R O C E E D I N G S

(Time Noted: 8:40 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN HALL: If the parties and interested observers could take their seats, we will begin the hearing.

We will begin the fifth day of our hearing on the cause of U.S. investigation into the crash of USAir Flight 427. Our first witness this morning is Captain William Traub. He is a Vice President for Flight Standards and Training for United Airlines in Denver Colorado.

Captain, if you would please come forward.

(Witness testimony continues on the next page.)

1 CAPTAIN WILLIAM TRAUB, VICE PRESIDENT FOR FLIGHT
2 STANDARDS AND TRAINING, UNITED AIRLINES, DENVER,
3 COLORADO
4

5 Whereupon,

6 WILLIAM TRAUB,
7 was called as a witness by and on behalf of NTSB, and,
8 after having been duly sworn, was examined and
9 testified on his oath as follows:

10 CHAIRMAN HALL: Captain, welcome. Before Mr.
11 Schleede begins, let me explain to the parties and to
12 the interested observers that Captain Traub is here at
13 the request of this Board and this Chairman to discuss
14 the latest training procedures that United is
15 undertaking in regard to an area called, I believe,
16 advanced maneuvers.

17 His testimony today is specifically in that
18 regard. Any questioning for Captain Traub, I would
19 appreciate would be limited to that training and that
20 procedure. The Chairman will rule out of order any
21 questions that would pertain to that training and the
22 investigation of the accident involving Flight 427.

23 Mr. Schleede, you may begin.

24 Mr. Schleede's microphone please, or the
25 microphone to my left.

1 MR. SCHLEEDE: Hello, hello. Okay.

2 Captain Traub, please give us your full name
3 and business address for our record.

4 THE WITNESS: William H. Traub. My business
5 address is the Stapleton Airport, Denver, Colorado.

6 MR. SCHLEEDE: And you are employed by United
7 Airlines?

8 THE WITNESS: By United Airlines, yes.

9 MR. SCHLEEDE: In what position?

10 THE WITNESS: My current position is Vice
11 President, Flight Standards and Training.

12 MR. SCHLEEDE: Could you give us a brief
13 description of your background and education that
14 qualifies you for your current position?

15 THE WITNESS: I graduated from Brown
16 University, entered the United States Air Force after
17 graduation.

18 CHAIRMAN HALL: Let's, if you wouldn't mind
19 holding there.

20 Can we get the hum out of the mike here?
21 Let's give them a minute.

22 (Pause.)

23 CHAIRMAN HALL: All right. Please proceed.

24 THE WITNESS: After graduation from college,
25 I entered the Air Force, spent five years in the United

1 States Air Force. Joined United Airlines after that.
2 Continued in the Air Force Reserves for another 17
3 years.

4 I have been involved in training pilots at
5 United Airlines for about 28 of my 31 years. Is that
6 enough?

7 MR. SCHLEEDE: What FAA ratings and
8 certificates do you hold?

9 THE WITNESS: I have flown all of United's
10 airplanes. I am type rated in the 737, all models; the
11 727; the 747, all models of the 747; most of the
12 Douglas airplanes. That's general.

13 MR. SCHLEEDE: Approximately how much total
14 flying time do you have?

15 THE WITNESS: Flight time, approximately
16 15,000 hours.

17 MR. SCHLEEDE: And about how much time do you
18 have in the 737?

19 THE WITNESS: In the 737, probably several
20 thousand hours.

21 MR. SCHLEEDE: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Leonard
22 will proceed.

23 MR. LEONARD: Good morning, Captain Traub.
24 Thank you for coming.

25 Would you please refer to Exhibit 2-B, an

1 addendum to the Operations Group report, pages 7
2 through 31.

3 THE WITNESS: Yes, I have that.

4 MR. LEONARD: All right. Could you tell me
5 in reference to that document, why did United Airlines
6 develop this program?

7 THE WITNESS: About two years ago, I became
8 interested in seeing what additional things we could do
9 in using our advanced simulators to explore what I
10 would consider more edge of the envelope type flying
11 with transport type airplanes.

12 I feel that training directors like myself,
13 we need to be proactive and provide dynamic training
14 for our pilots. And I just felt at that time that
15 perhaps we were not taking full advantage of the
16 capability of our simulators.

17 I had undertaken a simulator project about 15
18 years ago in the area of wind shear and the program
19 that resulted from that training has been very
20 successful, both at United Airlines and within the
21 industry. And I thought that perhaps by doing some
22 things in the, what I consider the advanced maneuvers
23 area, that we could provide a similar impact in
24 training and perhaps bring pilot training to a new
25 level of sophistication and capability.

1 MR. LEONARD: I recognize that the program is
2 still in the developmental stages and that what we have
3 really is a draft of that program and not the final
4 product, but would you please describe some of the
5 maneuvers that are presented currently in your program?

6 THE WITNESS: The maneuvers in the program
7 are tailored to the particular airplane type, but I
8 would say the core maneuvers that will be implemented
9 in all fleet types would be a handling of a transport
10 airplane in a low energy situation with an engine
11 failure, such as a pilot might experience on a missed
12 approach or shortly after takeoff.

13 Another core maneuver would be a high angled
14 attack, low air speed situation. Another core maneuver
15 would be a high bank and a very nose low maneuver. I
16 think that would apply to all fleet types and then
17 there would a number of specific maneuvers tailored to
18 the particular fleet.

19 MR. LEONARD: In these maneuvers, would it be
20 safe to say or characterize them as well outside what
21 normal training is accomplished in a flight crew
22 training program?

23 THE WITNESS: I would say, sir, that
24 heretofore we did not do some of those maneuvers to the
25 degree that we are doing them now. I think there was

1 some amount of exposure to certain parts of those
2 maneuvers that have traditionally been in the training
3 program, but we had not concentrated on the details of
4 each of those maneuvers, and some others, up until
5 about a year ago, or a little short of a year ago, when
6 we actually implemented this training.

7 MR. LEONARD: In what aircraft or simulators
8 has this program been implemented to this point?

9 THE WITNESS: It has been implemented in the
10 757, 767 training program, and it has been implemented
11 in the 737 300-500 training program.

12 MR. LEONARD: And your plans for the future?

13 THE WITNESS: My goal is to have it
14 implemented in all fleets by the end of the first
15 quarter of this year.

16 MR. LEONARD: About how many pilots have
17 received this training so far?

18 THE WITNESS: Approximately 300 pilots.

19 MR. LEONARD: And what has been the reaction
20 by the flight crews so far?

21 THE WITNESS: The flight crews' reaction to
22 this training has been universally enthusiastic. They
23 have adapted very well to the training and have been
24 very appreciative of what they have learned as a result
25 of going through this package.

1 MR. LEONARD: In your training, Captain
2 Traub, how much additional time has been required,
3 could you estimate, to implement this program in
4 training?

5 THE WITNESS: We have estimated in the
6 transition program about two additional hours of
7 simulator time per pilot. In the recurrent training
8 program, about 30 minutes per pilot.

9 MR. LEONARD: Now, the package includes at
10 this point I think some 13 maneuvers, or something like
11 that. I am sure that is going to vary. How would that
12 be implemented in, say, an initial training of a flight
13 crew member, where they normally have, say, six
14 simulator rides or something along those lines?

15 THE WITNESS: What we have found to be most
16 useful up to this point is early in the training, in
17 this case the 757, 767, introducing the pilot in the
18 early simulator periods to several of these maneuvers
19 each transition training period. So by period 3 or 4,
20 the whole package has been introduced to the pilot.

21 MR. LEONARD: Now, are these geared towards a
22 proficiency level or towards a demonstration type of
23 activity?

24 THE WITNESS: Well, it starts out as a
25 demonstration but we do require proficiency on the

1 maneuvers.

2 MR. LEONARD: Have there been any surprises
3 so far in the training? Your instructors been
4 surprised at any of the results so far?

5 THE WITNESS: I don't think that we have had
6 any great surprises. I know I have personally been
7 through the training on both of the airplanes that we
8 have it implemented, and I would have to say that I
9 have learned some things that I had either forgotten or
10 perhaps never knew about the handling of transport
11 airplanes. I am more confident in the airplane and
12 more confident in my own abilities as a result of going
13 through that training.

14 MR. LEONARD: So it enhances the self-
15 confidence of the flight crew member in his performance
16 of flying the aircraft and his general capabilities?

17 THE WITNESS: That is correct. And I guess I
18 would also say I was a little bit surprised at the
19 enthusiasm of the pilots for this type of training.

20 MR. LEONARD: And you brought up the, at this
21 point in time, the program that you have for recurrent
22 training, and how has that been working so far and what
23 type of maneuvers or what blend of maneuvers are
24 entered into the training there, recurrent training?

25 THE WITNESS: In the 737 300-500 fleet, we

1 are under a training concept called CQP, or Continuing
2 Qualification Program. That is a new training concept
3 permitted by the FARs, and that training program allows
4 us some additional time in the simulator to accomplish
5 these maneuvers. So it was very easy to implement into
6 that training program. And by doing it in the
7 recurrent program, we will expose that training package
8 to the entire fleet within 12 months.

9 MR. LEONARD: How have the simulators
10 responded thus far, Captain Traub, to the program?

11 THE WITNESS: The simulators have responded
12 very, very well. When we got into the investigation,
13 we were not quite sure how they would respond, but we
14 found aerodynamically they have operated very well. We
15 have only dealt with our advanced simulators, the most,
16 or the latest state of the art simulators that we have.

17 I can't say how some of the older simulators
18 are going to act. We have had no difficulty with the
19 mechanical reliability of the simulators. And what is
20 most beneficial in the advanced simulators is the
21 ability to line select certain maneuvers, so that there
22 is nothing artificial about the implementation or
23 putting the pilot into this particular maneuver.

24 MR. LEONARD: For a moment would you please
25 refer to Exhibit 2-R, Captain Traub.

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, I have that.

2 MR. LEONARD: Has there been anything from
3 that publication, or that type of publication, that you
4 have used in the development of this program?

5 THE WITNESS: No.

6 MR. LEONARD: Have there been any specific
7 problems that you have noted in the implementation of
8 this program in the air crew performance? Let's touch
9 on such things as crews that fly highly automated
10 aircraft. Have you noticed anything in that area as
11 concern your training instructors?

12 THE WITNESS: I can't think of anything that
13 has concerned us, other than certain airplanes, or
14 perhaps some of the newer airplanes have
15 characteristics that pilots need to be familiar with.

16 MR. LEONARD: You are going to be receiving
17 the 777 before long. Have you given any thought to how
18 you are going to implement it in that airplane?

19 THE WITNESS: Yes, we have already developed
20 a package for the 777. We have a simulator that is
21 operating at this time, and it works very well on the
22 777. Both the airbus and the 777 do have some
23 characteristics that we need to deal with. There is
24 bank limiting protection and there is stall protection
25 that is built into the airplane, so we need to deal

1 with that. And the pilots need to be familiar with how
2 the airplane responds as you approach those regimes of
3 flight.

4 MR. LEONARD: As you have developed this
5 program, how has it been handled by the FAA in terms of
6 approving the program or that type of thing?

7 THE WITNESS: We have not asked the FAA for
8 approval. I have had the FAA in to fly the program and
9 they have been very enthusiastic to the results of the
10 program.

11 MR. LEONARD: Is there anything else you
12 would like to share with us, Captain Traub, relative to
13 your feelings on this program and what United has
14 learned thus far?

15 THE WITNESS: My recommendation to the FAA in
16 this regard, if they were to suggest that airlines in
17 the United States adopt this training, that they
18 establish a training objective and then allow the
19 individual airlines to develop the program that
20 accomplishes that objective and not specify a
21 particular amount of time in a simulator or even
22 specify the maneuvers, because these will vary by
23 airplane type and the type training program that the
24 advanced maneuvers are implemented in.

25 So I think it is very important that the

1 government agencies, if they choose to do so, if they
2 choose to make this type training mandatory, that they
3 merely establish the training objective and then let
4 the experts develop a program that meets those
5 objectives, and then the FAA can be the final proving
6 authority of that program.

7 MR. LEONARD: I want to thank you very much,
8 Captain Traub, for sharing these thoughts with us on
9 this program. I appreciate it very much and I have no
10 more questions for you. Thank you, sir.

11 THE WITNESS: You are welcome.

12 CHAIRMAN HALL: What I would like to do this
13 morning is to go around to the individual tables and
14 call on the spokesperson, each spokesperson, and
15 whether you have a question for this witness or not, I
16 would appreciate it if you would identify the people
17 that have been at your table this week.

18 Everyone has committed a large amount of
19 resources to this investigation and everyone, I know we
20 are missing a few people this morning, but I would like
21 to at least identify for the record those individuals
22 who have been here.

23 And if I could begin with Mr. Wurzel and the
24 International Association of Machinists. Mr. Wurzel,
25 do you have any questions for this witness, and would

1 you please identify the individuals at your table?

2 MR. WURZEL: Good morning, Mr. Hall. We have
3 no questions for the witness.

4 At our table are Dave Supplee, he is a Flight
5 Safety Committee person from our District 141 of the
6 Machinists union. Mr. Wayne Galimore, he is a Flight
7 Safety Committee coordinator for our District. Mr.
8 Mike Gardner, he is also a coordinator. Mr. Olney
9 Anthony, and Mr. Terry Kleiser.

10 Thank you very much.

11 CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you, and, gentlemen, we
12 appreciate your participation.

13 Mr. Jakse with Monsanto.

14 MR. JAKSE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We have
15 no questions for this witness.

16 To my left is Carl Moskowitz of Public
17 Relations. Jim Siegel, Business Manager for the Skide
18 Roll product. David Snively, Counsel. And John
19 Cowden, Counsel.

20 CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you very much.

21 MR. JAKSE: Thank you.

22 CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Purvis with the Boeing
23 Commercial Airplane Group.

24 MR. PURVIS: Thank you. We have no questions
25 for Captain Traub.

1 Across from me is Jean McGrew who has shared
2 the co-spokesperson duties with me during the week, and
3 also testified. Next to him is Rick Howes who was the
4 Boeing coordinator on the actual accident field phase
5 of the investigation, and has done a lot of work in the
6 preparation for this hearing.

7 On my left is Bruce Campbell and next to him
8 is Tom McLaughlin. Both of them are Counsel with the
9 Perkins-Gouhey outside firm for us.

10 David Hyde, who is going to testify shortly,
11 a captain with Boeing. And -- oh, I am sorry, I got
12 David, it is Mr. Tom McLaughlin is in the corner.
13 Sorry, it is David Hyde in between them. And I have
14 got Paul Cline and Bernie Turner who have previously
15 testified and have been helping us.

16 CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you very much. We
17 appreciate your assistance.

18 Mr. Weik, Parker Hannifin.

19 MR. WEIK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. There's
20 no questions for the witness.

21 Sitting across from me is Mr. Silane, outside
22 Counsel. Sitting next to him is Steve Vaughn, inside
23 Counsel.

24 CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you very much.

25 Captain LeGrow with the Airline Pilots

1 Association. Captain.

2 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
3 do have a couple of questions for Captain Traub, if I
4 may, please?

5 CHAIRMAN HALL: Sure. Please proceed.

6 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Good morning, Captain Traub.

7 THE WITNESS: Good morning.

8 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Just a couple of issues.

9 Your, the title of Advanced Maneuver Package, which has
10 been referred to, at least in some of these meetings,
11 as Unusual Attitude Recovery Program, when was this
12 implemented to the line pilots?

13 THE WITNESS: The first official
14 implementation to the line pilots was July of last
15 year.

16 CAPTAIN LeGROW: And you say there has been
17 300 pilots have been through this program?

18 THE WITNESS: Approximately, yes.

19 CAPTAIN LeGROW: How many of those are 737
20 pilots, line pilots? I am not referring to instructors
21 but line pilots.

22 THE WITNESS: It was implemented in the 737
23 training program the first of this year, and let's say
24 we have about six to eight pilots a day going through
25 that program, so less than a hundred so far in the 737,

1 line pilots.

2 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Okay. Thank you. Are you
3 familiar with the British Airways program?

4 THE WITNESS: No.

5 CAPTAIN LeGROW: You are not at all familiar
6 with it?

7 THE WITNESS: No.

8 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Could you tell me if there
9 are any other domestic airlines that have a similar
10 program?

11 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.

12 CAPTAIN LeGROW: During your program when you
13 run pilots through, do you assume recovery of all three
14 axes of the airplanes in your recovery from these
15 maneuvers?

16 THE WITNESS: We require recovery of the
17 airplane. I don't know exactly what you mean by all
18 three axes.

19 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Exactly that. You don't
20 fail the R axis, or the roll axis or the pitch axis in
21 any of these maneuvers?

22 THE WITNESS: No, we don't implement any
23 failures in the airplane.

24 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Thank you. I have no
25 further questions, Mr. Chairman.

1 CHAIRMAN HALL: If you could introduce your
2 table, please.

3 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Certainly, I would be glad
4 to. I have Captain John Brookman who worked on the
5 Operations Group. Mr. Conny Kleissas, First Officer
6 Conny Kleissas who worked at the Structures Group. I
7 have Captain Bob Tully who was the Chief Accident
8 Investigator for ALBER at USAir. Captain John Cox, who
9 worked in the Systems Group with us. And Mr. Keakini
10 Kaulia, who is with our staff down in Washington.

11 CHAIRMAN HALL: You did better with Mr.
12 Kaulia's name than I did the first time.

13 CAPTAIN LeGROW: He spelled it out for me
14 here, Mr. Chairman.

15 CHAIRMAN HALL: All right. Captain Sharp.

16 CAPTAIN SHARP: Good morning, Mr. Chairman,
17 Mr. Traub. We have no questions of this witness.

18 At our table, to my right, going around the
19 table is Mark Dombroff of Dombroff and Gilmore in
20 Washington, outside Counsel. General Bob Oaks who is
21 the Vice President of Corporate Safety and Regulatory
22 Compliance for USAir. Captain George Snyder is the
23 Director of Flight Safety and Quality Assurance.

24 Mr. Bill Petrogallo is local Counsel, outside
25 Counsel. Dane Jacques, with the firm of Gilmore,

1 Dombroff and Gilmore, and Captain John Murphy who is
2 USAir's Senior Director of Flight Operations.

3 CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you very much,
4 gentlemen, for your participation.

5 Mr. Donner, FAA.

6 MR. DONNER: Yes, sir. Thank you. I do have
7 a question, but first I would like to introduce Mark
8 Tomicich from our Chief Counsel's office; Victoria
9 Anderson and Larry Smith, who were the co-FAA
10 representatives at your investigation and investigators
11 in my office.

12 Captain Traub, thank you for your
13 presentation. It was very interesting, and I did hear
14 your message to the FAA. I will carry it back home.

15 A question on your comments on the simulator
16 reliability. Did you have to do any reprogramming to
17 extend the edges of the envelope, so to speak?

18 THE WITNESS: I know our engineering people
19 did some work on the simulators. I can't really
20 testify to the extent of that work. We, on the team
21 that we had to put this together, we had two aero-
22 engineers from our simulator department.

23 MR. DONNER: Are you confident that the
24 sensations that the pilots are feeling are realistic
25 when you get into some of the higher G maneuvers?

1 THE WITNESS: Well, as you know, in a
2 simulator, we can only simulate about six-tenths of a
3 G. So the one thing that is missing in the experience
4 is the actual G forces.

5 What we have done to try to address that, and
6 it is not a total solution, but we have, we use the DME
7 indicator to read out actual G forces on the air frame.
8 And if the recovery should exceed any of the limits in
9 G forces, it actually sounds a chime in the back of the
10 simulator. So the pilots do get some feedback on the
11 actual G force on the airplane.

12 MR. DONNER: Thank you very much.

13 CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you very much,
14 gentlemen.

15 Mr. Marx.

16 MR. MARX: No questions.

17 CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Clark?

18 MR. CLARK: I have no questions.

19 CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Schleede?

20 MR. SCHLEEDE: Yes, Captain Traub, just a
21 couple of areas here. A follow-up to Mr. Donner's
22 questions. You said you weren't aware of what the
23 engineers did, but I did want to ask you for the
24 record, do you know what kind of cost was involved in
25 getting this program going?

1 THE WITNESS: No exactly. The manpower cost
2 was, I would say somewhat significant, but I don't know
3 of any hardware cost.

4 MR. SCHLEEDE: Okay. And you mentioned that
5 the 777 and the A-320 had some unique characteristics
6 that you had to overcome. Do you actually have to
7 modify the flight envelope to be able to do these
8 maneuvers? Do you pull circuit breakers to get the
9 airplane -- I know it has limitations on banking.

10 THE WITNESS: No, we don't have to pull
11 circuit breakers or modify the flight envelope. But we
12 have to use the simulator computer to, as I say, line
13 select a high bank situation or a full stall. The
14 flight controls cannot implement that type of a
15 maneuver.

16 MR. SCHLEEDE: Okay. Do you know, in
17 developing this program, was there any involvement of
18 the manufacturer, Boeing, or the airframe manufacturers
19 in setting up the program?

20 THE WITNESS: We talked to the Boeing company
21 with respect to the data package that is used for the
22 simulator, but that is a regular, ongoing process
23 anyway. So other than that, I don't know of any
24 contact with the manufacturer.

25 MR. SCHLEEDE: I was just curious if there

1 was, in developing the program, if you looked at
2 historical records and data involving incidents or
3 events to develop the maneuvers?

4 THE WITNESS: Oh, absolutely. That's what
5 peaked my interest in trying to do something
6 proactively in this area and I had the NTSB reports
7 from accidents that have happened over the last ten or
8 more years, and various other government agency
9 reports, but I think mostly the NTSB reports.

10 I remember specifically the accident over the
11 Pacific around Shimia, where I think it was a MD-11 had
12 some flight control anomalies that ended up in somewhat
13 of an upset and the great damage and loss of life
14 associated with the recovery from that maneuver.
15 That's what really peaked my interest in trying to do
16 more in this particular area.

17 And over the years there has been similar
18 accidents, not necessarily high altitude upsets but
19 areas where perhaps the controls were not handled
20 properly, and we ended up with maybe a damaged airplane
21 or something more serious.

22 MR. SCHLEEDE: I appreciate, that was my last
23 question, if you use NTSB reports or safety
24 recommendations in your development of your program.

25 THE WITNESS: Absolutely.

1 MR. SCHLEEDE: Thank you very much.

2 CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Laynor.

3 MR. LAYNOR: Captain Traub, have you observed
4 much of the training firsthand, the pilots going
5 through the program?

6 THE WITNESS: No. I have gone through the
7 training myself, but I have not been in the simulator
8 and observed the pilot training.

9 MR. LAYNOR: I was wondering feedback from
10 your instructors, whether you could characterize the
11 general pilot performance, when they come into the
12 program and after they receive a little bit of the
13 training.

14 THE WITNESS: I have talked to a number of
15 instructors and check airmen who have conducted the
16 training and they have reported the enthusiasm of the
17 pilots and they have not encountered any difficulty in
18 training or exposing these particular maneuvers.

19 MR. LAYNOR: Well, what I was trying to get
20 to, is there a significant change in the pilot's
21 ability to cope with the problems that they are given
22 during it?

23 THE WITNESS: I don't think so. The pilots,
24 as I testified before, their confidence level in their
25 airplane has improved, and they have seen some things

1 that they had not been exposed to before.

2 MR. LAYNOR: How about their ability to
3 recover from these problem areas?

4 THE WITNESS: Well, once the techniques of
5 recovery have been pointed out, they have had no
6 difficulty in recovering.

7 MR. LAYNOR: Are these six degree of freedom
8 simulators, or three degree of freedom?

9 THE WITNESS: No, they are all six degree.

10 MR. LAYNOR: All six degree.

11 I have no more questions. Thank you, sir.

12 CAPTAIN HALL: Captain, I greatly appreciate
13 you taking time from what I know is a very busy
14 schedule to be here this morning and to present us
15 information on this program. We appreciate your
16 attendance and you are excused.

17 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.

18 (Witness excused.)

19 CHAIRMAN HALL: Our next witness is General
20 Robert Oaks, a Vice President for Corporate Safety and
21 Regulatory Compliance with USAir here in Pittsburgh.

22 General Oaks.

23 (Witness testimony continues on the next
24 page.)

25

1 GENERAL ROBERT OAKS, VICE PRESIDENT FOR CORPORATE
2 SAFETY AND REGULATORY COMPLIANCE, USAIR,
3 PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA
4

5 Whereupon,

6 ROBERT OAKS,
7 was called as a witness by and on behalf of NTSB, and,
8 after having been duly sworn, was examined and
9 testified on his oath as follows:

10 CHAIRMAN HALL: Welcome, General. Mr.
11 Schleede will begin the questioning.

12 MR. SCHLEEDE: General Oaks, give us your
13 full name and business address for the record, please.

14 THE WITNESS: Yes. Robert Charles Oaks.
15 Business address, Pittsburgh Airport, USAir.

16 MR. SCHLEEDE: And would you give us a brief
17 description of your education and background that
18 brings you to your present position?

19 THE WITNESS: Certainly. I started in the
20 aviation business in 1954 when I enlisted in the Utah
21 National Guard as an Aviation Specialist. Graduated
22 from the Air Force Academy in 1959 with a Bachelor of
23 Military Science and as a rated navigator. Entered
24 undergraduate pilot training, Air Force Flying School
25 in 1960 -- or 1959, graduated in '60. Entered fighter

1 training.

2 For the next six years I flew fighters,
3 F-100's around the U.S. and had a tour in Japan, and
4 also a tour in Vietnam. Compiled 300 hours of combat
5 time at that time. Came back, got a Master's degree at
6 Ohio State. Flew the C-47 at that time. Went to the
7 Air Force Academy as an instructor pilot in the T-41
8 and as the Exec. for Honor and Ethics at the Academy.

9 Went from there back into fighters as a F-111
10 pilot, Flight Commander, Squadron Operations Officer,
11 and Squadron Commander. Then compiled about 600 hours
12 in the F-111 and then went to Naval War College.
13 Several staff tours, staff jobs in the Pentagon. Went
14 to Europe, ended up as the Commander of a fighter wing
15 flying F-4's at that time.

16 Back to the Pentagon in Personnel, and then
17 back to Europe as the Commander of Allied Air Forces,
18 Southern Europe, NATO Commander responsible for NATO
19 Air Operations in Italy, Greece, Turkey and the
20 Mediterranean.

21 Came back then to Air Training Command. We
22 had over a thousand airplanes in Air Training Command.
23 Flew about 25 percent of the U.S. Air Force's flying
24 time in the command. And then back to Europe as the
25 Commander of United States Air Forces in Europe and

1 Commander of Allied NATO Air Forces in the Central
2 Region.

3 In that job we provided about 40 percent of
4 the support in the Gulf War, fighter support, U.S. Air
5 Force, and also responsible for all Air Operations in
6 Bosnia and then, and the downsizing.

7 And have compiled, have about 4500 hours of
8 flying time, mostly fighter, but also have flown the
9 T-43, which is the Boeing 737 equivalent and for over a
10 hundred hours have flown Gulf Stream and LearJet
11 extensively. Have flown also the C-5 141, KC-135, the
12 B-1 and the B-52.

13 MR. SCHLEEDE: And how long have you held
14 your present position, sir?

15 THE WITNESS: I have been in this job since
16 December 1st. I might mention, because I think it is
17 important to the matter at hand, in those jobs I had, I
18 started out as a Squadron Flying Safety Officer. My
19 safety experience, Squadron Flying Safety Officer,
20 Board, Accident Board Investigation Member. Conducted
21 my own investigation as a Squadron Commander of an
22 accident that we had. And, of course, as the senior,
23 as the Commander in those various organizations, really
24 the head Flying Safety Officer responsible for safe
25 flight operations and the investigation of all

1 accidents.

2 MR. SCHLEEDE: Have you attended any formal
3 training for safety schools, accident investigation or
4 safety schools?

5 THE WITNESS: I have not.

6 MR. SCHLEEDE: Thank you. Mr. Leonard will
7 proceed.

8 MR. LEONARD: Good morning, General. Can you
9 hear me all right, sir?

10 THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. Thank you, Mr.
11 Leonard.

12 MR. LEONARD: To whom do you report, sir?

13 THE WITNESS: I report directly to the CEO of
14 USAir, Mr. Seth Schofield.

15 MR. LEONARD: Could you explain to us how
16 your office is organized?

17 THE WITNESS: Yes. We have brought, we have
18 consolidated in USAir in the last two months, all
19 safety operations. So we have brought the ground
20 safety operation and the flying safety operation that
21 previously existed under flight operations. We have
22 brought them into one consolidated office. And so we
23 are responsible for all aspects of corporate safety as
24 well as for regulatory compliance. And reporting, as I
25 said earlier, directly to the CEO.

1 MR. LEONARD: Could you describe some of the
2 qualifications of the people in your staff in the
3 aviation, specifically in the flight safety end of it?

4 THE WITNESS: Well, yes. They have been,
5 they have all been to accident investigation, they have
6 been to accident prevention courses. Been to the USC
7 course in accident prevention and investigation, and
8 they have been to ALPA investigation, accident
9 investigation courses, FAA accident investigation
10 courses and seminars, plus they are all qualified on
11 various aircraft within the company.

12 They are all captains flying aircraft,
13 current in the aircraft. So they are highly qualified
14 both in the atmosphere and the culture of aviation as
15 well as the specifics of accident investigation and
16 prevention.

17 MR. LEONARD: In 1994 USAir had two major
18 accidents. Could you describe for us what changes
19 USAir safety department has implemented since those, as
20 a result of those accidents?

21 THE WITNESS: Well, I would like to emphasize
22 that USAir has had a long ongoing safety program since
23 its inception, and the things that we do, the safety
24 programs, are not in response to any particular
25 accident.

1 However, in this, under the focus that USAir
2 has found itself following those accidents, we have
3 made some significant changes. As mentioned, we have
4 consolidated all aspects of safety under one office
5 reporting directly to Mr. Schofield. And that gives
6 safety a great deal of visibility within the
7 corporation. It has always had some visibility because
8 of the campaigns conducted and the various activities.
9 But it gives a greater visibility and lets us reach out
10 maybe in a little more effective way to the people
11 within USAir.

12 We have just published our second issue of a
13 safety magazine, a corporate safety magazine, that lets
14 us communicate more directly, and we expect more
15 effectively, to the people in the organization about
16 safety programs, safety problems, a cross-tell of
17 information of other people's experience in safety
18 matters.

19 We have instituted a flow of information
20 program, improved flow of information, where we are
21 encouraging people to be, I would say even eager to
22 give us data that we can react to, safety data that
23 they might not have felt we were adequately reacting to
24 the in the past. And so we want to improve that flow
25 of safety information from the bottom as well as from

1 the top. We promised them feedback and we will provide
2 that feedback on what we do, how we react to the
3 information that they flow. So I think there is an
4 improved flow of information system.

5 We have improved focus on ground safety. We
6 believe that it is a culture and that you can't
7 separate flight safety from ground safety, so we are
8 focusing on aspects of ground safety. For example, we
9 have got a campaign, we have started a campaign to pay
10 attention to, greater attention, and bring everybody's
11 attention to aircraft accidents on the ground. Things
12 that normally aren't, that aren't serious enough to
13 make the news, but we think there is an area there for
14 improving our safety culture within USAir.

15 We have also, and this is in fact in direct
16 response to the accidents and the publicity, is we have
17 initiated, and in fact are now just winding up, an
18 outside audit, PRC, Planning Resource Corporation.

19 Mr. Dixon Speece and his folks have been
20 spending a lot of time with USAir, all aspects, doing
21 an in-depth safety audit, to make sure that we in our
22 internal audit system, and in our internal assessment,
23 had not overlooked some significant safety problem
24 area. And so we have brought them in with a highly
25 experienced group of auditors and we are just winding

1 that up. So we have, and in the safety department we
2 have given full support and been deeply involved in
3 that audit that is now concluding.

4 MR. LEONARD: Can you share with us any of
5 the results of that, sir, or is that still pending?

6 THE WITNESS: Well, as I said, they are just
7 concluding. The report is not yet in our hands. But
8 would hasten to add that, as you would expect, every
9 day we were asking them, have you found anything
10 significant that we ought to be about? And I am happy
11 to report that, no, they found no significant safety
12 items that, safety malpractices within USAir. And so
13 we felt confident before, but we have now got outside
14 verification of our basic safety culture.

15 MR. LEONARD: General Oaks, would you refer
16 to Exhibit 2-N, please, which is the Advisory Circular
17 published by the Federal Aviation Administration, 120-
18 59.

19 THE WITNESS: Oka.y I am familiar with that
20 circular.

21 MR. LEONARD: "Air Carrier Internal
22 Evaluation." Could you comment on that, sir, as far as
23 what USAir's response to that Advisory Circular is?

24 THE WITNESS: On the internal audit?

25 MR. LEONARD: What, sir?

1 THE WITNESS: The --

2 MR. LEONARD: On the Advisory Circular 120-
3 59, "Air Carrier Internal Evaluation Program."

4 THE WITNESS: Yes.

5 MR. LEONARD: Could you comment on that?

6 THE WITNESS: We have instituted that program
7 and we have an internal audit. As I mentioned, the
8 flight safety department that was previously under
9 flight operations is in fact an internal audit system
10 conducting audits really in full response to that
11 voluntary circular, the voluntary suggestions, but we
12 have implemented that circular recommendations and
13 provide reports according.

14 MR. LEONARD: And part of that circular, part
15 of that process recommended in that Advisory Circular
16 recommends upper management monitoring of it. Could
17 you comment at all as to how that part of it is
18 implemented with USAir?

19 THE WITNESS: Well, yes. As I said, we --
20 that's my job, of course, as the safety, Vice President
21 for Safety and Regulatory Compliance, and to bring that
22 to attention and report to the senior leadership.

23 And one other item you asked what we had
24 done, we have instituted a safety meeting at the
25 corporate level so that all the senior officers in

1 USAir will receive a direct report from me monthly in a
2 safety meeting, and of course that report, that audit
3 report, internal audit report that you mentioned in
4 response to that circular, will be a part of that
5 presentation.

6 MR. LEONARD: Thank you, General. Would you
7 refer to Exhibit 2-0, please, sir. That's another
8 Advisory Circular.

9 THE WITNESS: I have got them shuffled pretty
10 well here.

11 MR. LEONARD: All right. Take your time.

12 THE WITNESS: Okay.

13 MR. LEONARD: Its "Air Carrier Voluntary
14 Disclosure Reporting Procedures." Could you comment on
15 USAir's involvement or response to that Advisory
16 Circular?

17 THE WITNESS: Yes. Again, we have been fully
18 supportive of that. In fact, we have taken it one step
19 further and we are at this time, part of that
20 information flow system that I mentioned, we are
21 providing a similar kind of program to USAir employees,
22 that we provide them immunity from punishment for
23 information that they provide even involving their own
24 actions involving safety.

25 If they report it and we don't, then we will,

1 we promise them immunity to encourage that flow of
2 information. So we are fully supportive of this
3 circular and the basic principles involved in it, that
4 you can't get safer if you don't have that free flow of
5 information with people being free from fear of
6 reprisal and discipline.

7 MR. LEONARD: Are you aware, General, of any
8 specific actions or results of air flight crews and
9 voluntarily disclosing a problem or an issue and that
10 being discussed with the local FAA office? Do you have
11 any knowledge of any of that, sir?

12 THE WITNESS: No, I'm sorry, I don't have any
13 knowledge of a specific instance where that has, the
14 circular has resulted in that kind of action.

15 Let me go back, I won't plead ignorance
16 because of short time on the company often, but I will
17 on that. I would not be surprised if they are there,
18 but I am not aware of any of those instances.

19 MR. LEONARD: All right. General, I wanted
20 to ask you your opinion, based upon your extensive
21 flight career in especially some automated aircraft
22 which you have mentioned you flew. How it pertains to
23 pilot's response to this automated flight environment,
24 auto pilots and the highly advanced flight director's
25 systems. In general, what is your response to this

1 issue about automation?

2 THE WITNESS: Well, automation is at least a
3 two-edged sword, and the first and most important thing
4 to say is automation is very good in improving flight
5 safety and general performance of crews and aircraft in
6 the air, and it is good because it lets the crew
7 handle, receive and handle more information.

8 It lets the crew back off from some of the
9 tasks that have previously been manually done, and
10 demanded a lot of time to manually do them, so that
11 they can focus on more critical phases of flight, and
12 focus all of their attention on those critical phases
13 of flight at a particular time.

14 So automation is very good in improving and
15 expanding the capabilities of the crew. That's the
16 good side, and we could talk both in combat aircraft
17 and talk about in commercial aircraft, instances where
18 that is true.

19 It is a whole lot easier to fly an ILS
20 approach today with the displays and the automated, the
21 more automated displays and coupling with the flight
22 control system that are available today, than it used
23 to be when you were tuning in ADF approach and worrying
24 about the, did you have the right signal all the way
25 down and did you still have the strong signal.

1 Dramatically easier.

2 Now, the disadvantage that you always have to
3 worry about is that you make it easy and you make it
4 too easy and complacency builds in. So that is a
5 constant challenge to aviation leadership today, to
6 make sure that in this improved capability atmosphere
7 that automation has promoted, that you in fact don't
8 let complacency come in, and people in fact overlook
9 those basic tasks that are still in their lap.

10 But I think we have found ways to combat
11 that, and I think we are very effective in general in
12 aviation of battling that complacency.

13 MR. LEONARD: Thank you. Could you describe,
14 General, the relationship with your office with the
15 local Federal Aviation Administration?

16 THE WITNESS: Well, I have been very
17 impressed at the quality of that relationship. I think
18 everybody involved, all parties involved, first, the
19 flying public, the taxpayer, the people within the
20 company within USAir, are all well served by that
21 relationship.

22 Specifically, I would characterize it in a
23 couple of words as cooperative but independent. The
24 flying public and the taxpayer should be pleased at the
25 independence knowing that they have a view into USAir

1 and all other company operations, an objective view and
2 a realistic view. They get to look at maintenance,
3 they inspect the quality of maintenance. They look at
4 flight operations. They have inspectors on a
5 significant number of flights.

6 So the USAir -- or the FAA office that
7 supports USA -- USAir, and I am sure every other flying
8 operation has a great feel for the nature of those
9 flight and maintenance operations. So it is objective
10 and it provides that.

11 It also is a great asset to the company
12 itself, because we get that additional view. It is, I
13 guess if I were to characterize it cynically, it is a
14 free audit. And we get somebody else to look us with
15 the objectivity of an outsider, to say you all are good
16 or you all are bad, you need to improve in this area.
17 And we get several comments of areas for improvement,
18 and we respond to those comments. So I think it is, I
19 would characterize it as very beneficial to all parties
20 involved.

21 MR. LEONARD: Thank you, General. Just
22 before you, there was testimony by Captain Traub in
23 relation to the Advanced Maneuver Package, which he
24 spoke of in some detail. What is your impression of
25 that program, General?

1 THE WITNESS: Well, we have had, Captain
2 Johnson, in fact, who testified earlier, has gone and
3 flown in that program, and we are certainly for any
4 improved training program and we are watching United's
5 experience very closely. They have always been the
6 leader in training in the industry, and so we are
7 watching that experience very closely. In fact, the
8 company has petitioned to the ATA that they should
9 conduct, and we would certainly support, the conduct of
10 an industry-wide study to evaluate the benefits of this
11 kind of expanded training program.

12 MR. LEONARD: General, would you be willing
13 to share with us anything else that comes to your mind
14 in relation to this accident or the hearings that you
15 have been involved with so far?

16 THE WITNESS: Well, I would just follow up an
17 earlier question that you had, Mr. Leonard, about what
18 we have found as we have looked at ourselves, and USAir
19 has found a good, solid, safe operation. And then as
20 we look back, and we look all of our 45,000 employees
21 in the eye, we feel a little bit victimized by some of
22 the publicity that has surrounded. The innuendo and
23 insinuations about malpractice, after safety
24 malpractice.

25 Let me be specific, because I think in a

1 matter like this it is important to be specific. But
2 there were specific allegations of shortcuts in the
3 maintenance area because of the company financial
4 situation. And so when the PRC auditors came on board,
5 we right up front said we are very concerned about
6 this.

7 First, we are concerned is there any truth to
8 it. And so we asked them to, throughout their audits,
9 at every turn, in the air and on the ground, make sure,
10 look for any case where they saw maintenance shortcuts
11 being taken as a result of -- or for any reason, of
12 course, but certainly as a result of financial
13 condition of the company. And they have not found a
14 single instance in 45,000 employees, not a single
15 instance, where there has been that sort of shortcut
16 that would impair flight safety.

17 And so we are concerned about the atmosphere
18 and about the insinuations of maintenance malpractice,
19 of safety malpractice on the part of the company. And
20 I think you really could expand, and I guess I would
21 speak for everyone in the aviation business, is that it
22 has not just been a USAir focus, it has expanded to be
23 the U.S. aviation industry, and that is appalling to me
24 as a long term aviator, that the safest way to travel
25 on the face of the Earth, flying U.S. commercial air,

1 is in fact impugned today with I think reckless and
2 irresponsible accusations.

3 We can always get better, and the recent
4 safety conference under the FAA direction in Washington
5 highlighted that. We have to be committed to get
6 better. The only reason we are so good is we have had
7 that commitment to get better. So we can never rest on
8 laurels. In the safety business, if you are ever
9 comfortable, it is time to retire. So we will focus
10 that. But we need to remember where we are, and we are
11 in the safest business, transportation business in the
12 world. And this atmosphere of fault-finding and
13 finger-pointing is not conducive to improving that
14 very, very shiny record of accident prevention.

15 And it didn't always start that way.
16 Aviation isn't inherently safe. It is that way because
17 of a lot of work over many years. We are a hundred
18 times safer than we were forty years ago in commercial
19 flying. That is impressive and it is not an accident
20 that we got there. It is because this kind of
21 approach, this today, and you have heard how thousands
22 and thousands, and it may get to millions of hours
23 spent on this single accident, certainly millions and
24 millions of dollars. And why? -- because everybody
25 involved can't stand to walk away and say

1 "undetermined. "

2 You just can't do it. All those accidents
3 that I have been a part of in the past, the most gut-
4 wrenching thing is when you say "undetermined." So
5 people are dedicated not by pressure, not by force, but
6 by professional inclination. And I think the American
7 people do not understand what a great national asset
8 they have in the way people working in the aviation
9 industry feel about safety.

10 And it is not just pilots because their life
11 is in the cockpit; it is the mechanic, everybody on the
12 line. Everybody associated has the same kind of
13 professional atmosphere that you find in the operating
14 room. People think nothing of throwing their body on
15 an operating table and saying, doctor, do me. And the
16 doctor is on the table, all the people around, they are
17 supporting it. But in the aviation business, that
18 pilot and all that crew, they are on the table with
19 you. And I think it is important we get that message
20 to people.

21 They have a national asset in how mechanics,
22 designers, manufacturers, operators, feel about
23 aviation safety. It isn't loose willy-nilly stuff. It
24 is very professional, very dedicated, and very painful
25 when we go through this kind of grief situation that an

1 accident brings on families and everybody involved.

2 MR. LEONARD: Thank you, General. I have no
3 further questions, sir.

4 CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you, Mr. Leonard.

5 Do any of the parties have questions for this
6 witness? Do I see anyone other than USAir?

7 If not, Captain.

8 CAPTAIN SHARP: Yes, just one question.

9 Good morning, General.

10 THE WITNESS: Good morning, Gene.

11 CAPTAIN SHARP: General, recognizing the fact
12 that you are not an airline pilot, and you have only
13 been with USAir since December, but considering your
14 extensive experience as a pilot, a safety officer, and
15 the commander responsible for a large segment of the
16 U.S. Air Force, would you comment on a suggestion that
17 the reason the application of ailerons did not or would
18 not counteract any rudder deflection being experienced
19 by Flight 427, is that Captain Germano and First
20 Officer Emmitt didn't apply ailerons in a timely
21 fashion, would you comment on that?

22 THE WITNESS: Mr. Chairman, you stop me if I
23 get emotional about this. But I -- yes, and I guess
24 irresponsible would be how I would term that
25 accusation. And I will talk you through why I say

1 that, and I will talk you through in simple terms. I
2 don't want to plea to authority and say, well, from my
3 experience. From your experience, that is absurd.

4 Because think where they were in the flight.
5 In this crew, they are below 10,000 feet, the sterile
6 cockpit atmosphere prevails, and they are below 10,000
7 feet. They are turning to their final approach. They
8 are getting, shortly they are going to lower the gear.
9 Their attention is peaked. Now, these are not novices
10 to the business, they have got -- and reading the
11 report, they have got thousands of hours of flying
12 time. Their checks are immaculate, their checks are
13 exemplary. So these are highly qualified, highly
14 professional folks getting ready to land the aircraft.
15 And they are still on auto pilot and the wing drops.

16 Now, when the wing drops, it drops five
17 degrees and what do you do? You say what's that? And
18 so you are immediate alerted. At the first degree you
19 know something is different. And what is that? And so
20 you are alerted and it goes five and it keeps going,
21 your immediate reaction, as natural as breathing, or as
22 natural as putting one foot before the other when you
23 walk is you put an aileron. You immediate spin that
24 yoke to lift that left aileron up. And at the same
25 time you kick that right rudder because you have got to

1 bring that up. And those are the two controls that you
2 are taught from the first day you got in an airplane.

3 So to think that people could sit there for
4 five seconds, responsible, trained, experienced
5 aviators could sit for five seconds and watch that wing
6 drop and say, wow, what is this? and without doing
7 something immediately is unreasonable, in my mind.

8 So I think we have got to find more -- and it
9 is tough, but that is not going to be one of the
10 answers that we can responsibly light upon.

11 CAPTAIN SHARP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
12 that's all I have.

13 CHAIRMAN HALL: Captain, before we proceed, I
14 just have one question. Would you agree with the
15 statement that a state of the art flight data recorder
16 would tell us whether the pilots put in the aileron and
17 when they did it, if they did it?

18 THE WITNESS: State of the art, yes,
19 certainly. There are, there is equipment available
20 that could provide that, and we would love to have that
21 information.

22 CHAIRMAN HALL: How many 737's do you
23 currently operate, sir, in USAir?

24 THE WITNESS: About 235 I believe is the
25 right number.

1 CHAIRMAN HALL: Have you made any
2 recommendations to the president, Mr. Schofield, to
3 upgrade the flight data recorders since the accident of
4 USAir Flight 427?

5 THE WITNESS: I have not, but as we go back
6 to my, what, fourth point about things we are doing in
7 expanding the flow of information, part of that
8 information system is in fact expanding flight data
9 recorder. We are patterning it about the British
10 Airways system and they have access to that kind of
11 information. We are eager to get that, and so that is
12 in our program. But to answer your question
13 specifically, have I made that recommendation? No, I
14 have not.

15 CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, General, I want to be
16 respectful because I am very, very impressed with you
17 years of military service to our country, but at the
18 same time I guess the thing that bothers all of us the
19 most here, including all of the parties, be it the
20 airplane manufacturer, the people who have done the
21 rudder, is exactly what the pilots did or did not do,
22 and what the systems did or did not do.

23 And, again, unfortunately, you mentioned
24 earlier the glare of publicity, whether that publicity
25 is fair or unfair, we have all brought some of that

1 publicity upon us by being unable to find out exactly
2 what caused the accident of Flight 427. And I think
3 all of us, I hope will leave here with a purpose in
4 mind of being sure that we do not find ourselves in
5 that situation again, particularly in a situation where
6 modern technology can provide us that information.

7 Mr. Marx.

8 MR. MARX: I have no questions.

9 CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Clark.

10 MR. CLARK: No questions.

11 CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Schleede.

12 MR. SCHLEEDE: Yes. A couple of areas here.

13 General, in the Air Force, at least when I
14 was there, we had what they call Stand-Eval. programs,
15 Standardization, Evaluation programs. Could you
16 compare the Air Force Stand-Eval. safety programs with
17 what you have seen in your short tenure at USAir as far
18 as the FAA interface, the role it plays, and compare
19 that to your Air Force experience?

20 THE WITNESS: Yes. We try and accomplish, in
21 standardization in the Air Force, and standardization
22 is done by a particular agency within the flight
23 operations, or within the director of operations office
24 and it is a separate function, different from training.
25 The standardization and evaluation, and it is really

1 the focus is on evaluation to promote standardization.

2 As I would characterize it in USAir, why that
3 evaluation process is a function of the training, the
4 check airmen who are in the training department, they
5 do evaluation and they do also training. And, of
6 course, part of that evaluation comes from FAA. They
7 provide that outside the company evaluation.

8 But I would not like to think that we rely on
9 FAA to provide our standardization evaluation. We
10 certainly have that basic responsibility and conduct
11 our own check flights, our own evaluation system that
12 should lead us to standardization.

13 MR. MARX: Is the Air Force Stand-Eval.
14 program independent from the training function in the
15 Air Force?

16 THE WITNESS: Yes, we try and make it
17 independent from the training function.

18 MR. MARX: Well, help me understand how, if
19 the check airmen and the training is all done in one
20 department, that there is a true separation of Stand-
21 Eval. from the training.

22 THE WITNESS: Well, I wouldn't characterize
23 it that way in USAir. I characterized it that way in
24 the U.S. Air Force. But it -- so standardization, the
25 check airmen accomplish both training and evaluation.

1 The independent evaluation would in fact be a function
2 that you would get from both the professionalism of the
3 check airmen themselves and from FAA oversight.

4 MR. MARX: One other area I would like to
5 cover, which you have discussed some of it. I know you
6 have only been here since December, and you have talked
7 about the program and the organization as it is now. I
8 am interested for you to characterize what you see,
9 believe the corporate culture for safety at USAir was
10 in 1993, 1994, if you can comment on that, prior to
11 your arrival in the reorganization?

12 THE WITNESS: Well, obviously it is all
13 hearsay information that I have, but that generally
14 doesn't stop me from talking.

15 CHAIRMAN HALL: I don't know that that is a
16 fair question to ask the General, for him to
17 characterize something when he was not there. If he
18 wants to respond based on, you know, his review or
19 things of that nature, that would be fine. But,
20 General, whatever you want to do with that.

21 THE WITNESS: Well, I would just repeat what
22 we said earlier, that there were safety activities
23 going on and we have consolidated those in one office,
24 trying to give it some, give it independence under the
25 CEO. But I really couldn't talk about the culture then

1 versus the culture now.

2 MR. MARX: Fine. The last area is you
3 mentioned the external audit that was conducted. I
4 don't remember you saying when that was done, what time
5 frame.

6 THE WITNESS: The PRC audit started about the
7 20th of November and we expect a report to the company
8 within the next two weeks, so it is very recent.

9 MR. MARX: Oh, okay. I thought it was in the
10 past.

11 THE WITNESS: No.

12 MR. MARX: Okay. Thank you.

13 CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Laynor.

14 MR. LAYNOR: Just one or two, General. You
15 mentioned the British Airways system in your response
16 to Chairman Hall, and I assume that is the flight
17 operational quality assurance program we have been
18 talking about. This question may have been asked
19 earlier in the hearing, but when does USAir plan to
20 start that program?

21 THE WITNESS: Well, we have started it. In
22 the basic, we now have the software for analysis of
23 data. So we have brought the British Airways software
24 system, call basis, computer based system to bring it
25 in and give us the capability to analyze data, the

1 safety information that we get. That improved flow of
2 information that I talked about that is so critical to
3 make that system work.

4 For example, this year British Air got 5,000
5 reports, comments, inputs from their employees on
6 safety situations that they have responded to. We need
7 that kind of flow of information from the employees. A
8 part of that system is the flight data, the improved
9 flight data recorder input that they get on a daily
10 basis, they bring that data.

11 We are engaged in a program with United to
12 outfit, I think it is like 12 airplanes -- maybe it is
13 20 airplanes, aircraft with that expanded capability
14 flight data recorder with the monies made available.
15 And so we are going to have the capability to get the
16 dramatic improvement, dramatic expansion of flight
17 elements in and do analysis. Of course, that falls far
18 short of our entire fleet and the problems and the
19 challenges of equipping the whole fleet of old aircraft
20 with this kind of capability has been talked about in
21 the past, previously.

22 MR. LAYNOR: Which fleet of aircraft are
23 they?

24 THE WITNESS: I believe they are on 757's.
25 Is that correct? They will be on 757's.

1 MR. LAYNOR: Are these airplanes being
2 outfitted with quick access recorders or are we using
3 the basic flight data recorder information?

4 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that question.
5 I can look to my table and get you an answer if you
6 would like.

7 MR. LAYNOR: Well, we'll pursue that later.

8 THE WITNESS: Okay.

9 MR. LAYNOR: Have you had full cooperation
10 from the pilots union in the implementation of the
11 program?

12 THE WITNESS: Yes, we are working with them
13 to make sure that everybody is comfortable with how the
14 information is handled, to make sure that it is handled
15 in a responsible way. We are interested in data and
16 not identity and that is a very important part of it.
17 And that is what, as I understand it, the Airline
18 Pilots Association is legitimately concerned about, is
19 identity and how it is used, and everybody has to be
20 comfortable with that. And that shouldn't be any
21 problem at all in the acquiring and flow and treating
22 of this data.

23 MR. LAYNOR: All right. Thank you, sir.

24 CHAIRMAN HALL: General, I just have a few
25 questions. I was wondering if you would share with us

1 your philosophy of safety.

2 THE WITNESS: Certainly. Well, as I
3 indicated earlier, it is a total concept that you would
4 hope to get every employee in the organization
5 interested in and feel like they are a safety officer,
6 a safety person in the company. If you don't, you
7 don't have a safety culture. I don't do any safe acts.
8 None of the people on my staff do any safe acts as
9 safety people. Now, they go out and fly and then they
10 operate safely. So you have to develop a system that
11 everybody feels that they have got a part of the
12 action. And that is called a corporate safety culture.

13 You have to develop concepts and ways to
14 bring that kind of feeling into people at the lowest
15 level in the organization. To do that, you have to not
16 always be reacting to an accident. You have to have a
17 proactive approach. You have to focus on accidents,
18 what caused them, and then you can't just sit and wait
19 for the next one. You have to generalize, learn
20 lessons from and go correct those situations before
21 they can cause you more problems.

22 And we think in terms of equipment very often
23 in that, but it is attitudes, it is processes, it is
24 training, it is design. It is every aspect of the
25 business. It is how the caterers drive their trucks up

1 to the aircraft. The whole business associated with
2 that airplane right from first conception through the
3 last flight that it flies.

4 So you have to think of it in a total sense.
5 But you have to be committed also. And to get that
6 kind of culture, you have to be committed from the top
7 to the bottom. The CEO has to care and has to project
8 that care down to people. And then you have to
9 receive, I think the most core element is a system
10 where information can flow. Better ideas, safety ideas
11 are had at the bottom than at the top. Because they
12 are working with the equipment, the processes, every
13 day. So you have to get that information flowing.

14 I guess I would say that is about as specific
15 as --

16 CHAIRMAN HALL: No, I appreciate that. How
17 do you measure safety? How are you going to determine,
18 are there any factors that you would look to or could
19 point us to in terms of measuring safety?

20 THE WITNESS: Well, there is a real pitfall
21 to measure safety by accidents. And if the number of
22 accidents goes down, then you are safer. But you might
23 be just deluding yourself and you are really just in a
24 bathtub and you are going to come out the other end of
25 it next week. And so I guess I would go back to that

1 number I stated from British Air. I have got 5,000
2 employees that took the time to fill out a form and
3 send it in. They all care about safety. To me, that
4 is a great indication of a safety culture, a safety
5 atmosphere, a safety program.

6 CHAIRMAN HALL: I notice that sometimes the
7 media, in addition to pointing to accidents, points to
8 rule violations and deviations as measures of safety.
9 Do you think that is a fair way to measure safety?

10 THE WITNESS: Well, I think it is certainly
11 an indicator. It is something you have to focus on.
12 You can't ignore those. You can think of some of those
13 as near misses. The difficulty with that is deviations
14 get lumped in together, without much expertise or much
15 explanation about what was the nature of the deviation.
16 And, so, yes, those are important to consider, but it
17 is important to consider the limitations of those kind
18 of measurements also.

19 CHAIRMAN HALL: If we could just take a
20 minute and sort of walk through the system. As I
21 basically have attempted to understand the system, we
22 have an airplane that is delivered to you and it has,
23 it is manufactured by, and with USAir any number of
24 various outstanding companies. What -- but that
25 aircraft, of course, is dependent on its systems. Do

1 you all get involved or do you do any analysis of the
2 particular aircraft that you have and its measure of
3 operations? How would you be involved in that, sir?

4 THE WITNESS: Well, certainly we do, and I'll
5 try and be careful here and talk about things I know
6 and tell you the things I don't know. But a good
7 example of that is that each of the major component
8 manufacturers, in each of the engine manufacturers and
9 each of the aircraft manufacturers, we have an office.
10 They have an office with USAir and we stay plugged into
11 them. So we are doing analysis.

12 They take our maintenance data. There is a
13 very cooperative, in fact, it is hard to draw the line
14 between these offices sometimes because they are taking
15 our data from off the line maintenance and we are
16 inputting it them to tell them particular problems we
17 have with the third stage of a compressor or with any
18 other aspect, part, that, hey, that is not working
19 well.

20 Their reputation is on line with how we treat
21 their equipment. Our reputation is on line how their
22 equipment serves us. So it is hard to draw a line
23 between companies on this, because we are really all in
24 it together. So there is a very important cooperative
25 sharing of data, sharing of ideas and response to

1 complaints.

2 So just when Boeing puts an aircraft on the
3 ramp for USAir, clearly, and as illustrated throughout
4 these deliberations, they are not done with it. And
5 when we pick it up, it is not -- we are in it together.
6 We are vitally interested in the quality of that
7 product, for obvious reasons. They are vitally
8 interested in how we take care of that product. That's
9 why they keep data flowing to us.

10 Some of the exhibits show, both in flight
11 aspects, characteristics, information, as well as in
12 maintenance information, there is that exchange of
13 data. And that's the way it should be, and I think it
14 is a very health situation. And we respond to that
15 data. We take their suggestions, fold them into our
16 flight operations manuals, into our maintenance
17 manuals. It is a very health relationship I believe.

18 CHAIRMAN HALL: Secondly, I guess once you
19 got the plane, you have got the crew and you have got
20 to provide either, you either hire the crew already
21 trained or you have got to train the crew.

22 Now, I mentioned earlier your distinguished
23 record in the military and I am told that a lot of
24 pilots now, instead of coming out of the military and
25 training, are privately coming from private flight

1 safety foundations and other private institutions or
2 educational areas that provide training and, you know,
3 what type of look do you do in terms of your pilots and
4 training, and particularly the area of cockpit
5 discipline? How do you look at that area as part of
6 your overall safety program?

7 THE WITNESS: Well, in my other life, I used
8 to work hard as the Personnel Deputy Chief in the Air
9 Force to stop that flow. We were complaining about the
10 rape of military pilots by the airlines always grabbing
11 them out and attracting them and pulling them away.
12 Now I am very thankful for that flow because I consider
13 military pilots an asset to the civil aviation
14 industry.

15 And as I understand it, about 50 percent of
16 USAir's pilots were trained in the military, I think
17 that is a ballpark figure. The figure doesn't matter.
18 And there is a great -- and that is a good number.
19 There is a great cross-flow of information.

20 Each pilot, first, any company is not going
21 to hire an individual that hasn't met very strict FAA
22 requirements to get a commercial license with the
23 various ratings that come. And so they are going to
24 come trained. But any company is foolish if they think
25 that training is sufficient. And so there is an

1 ongoing training proposition -- challenge, and we have
2 heard that discussed already this morning. And in that
3 training process, you will do further evaluation.
4 There is an ongoing evaluation process. Every year
5 there is a thorough check given of knowledge and
6 capability to perform.

7 So the individual, regardless of his
8 background, or her background, brings to that -- they
9 come in and then they are brought up to company
10 standards. And they do that through the company
11 training program and through the company evaluation
12 program. But at that cross-feed, I think, the non-
13 military-trained pilots get something in terms of
14 discipline and understanding from their association and
15 flying with military-trained pilots, and military-
16 trained pilots get something from their flying with
17 non-military-trained pilots. There is a great cross-
18 flow and a cross-feed of information.

19 But the company has the obligation to bring
20 people up to their standards, and those standards have
21 to be as high in civil aviation as they are in the
22 military or the company won't stay in business.

23 CHAIRMAN HALL: And will you just comment,
24 how many employees did you say USAir has?

25 THE WITNESS: Forty-five thousand.

1 CHAIRMAN HALL: Forty-five thousand. That is
2 an impressive number of people. And how many of those
3 are mechanics, line mechanics and folks responsible for
4 the maintenance?

5 THE WITNESS: About 10,000 is the number of
6 mechanics that we have.

7 CHAIRMAN HALL: Could you just kind of tell
8 me what are the systems in place, either currently in
9 place to be sure there is a flow of information so that
10 the individuals that are out there actually hands on
11 the airplane, working on it when it is on the ground,
12 if their safety concerns get to you?

13 THE WITNESS: Well, there is the audit system
14 which is kind of a top-down, the internal audit system.
15 The quality assurance system of maintenance itself is
16 doing, looking at every critical maintenance process
17 that goes on, every maintenance action, not just the
18 process, but the actual changing of the tire, changing
19 of the engine, has that quality assurance. That is a
20 safety program in my mind.

21 Maintenance has a safety officer, and we,
22 each of the shifts have a safety officer. Each shift
23 within the company has a union safety assigned person
24 on the shift and they work with their safety
25 coordinator on the shift, and they work with the

1 foreman. So there is a whole organization, a grass
2 roots organization that has safety as its core
3 interest.

4 That information, we have monthly, we have
5 regular safety council meetings with those
6 representatives getting together from the company.
7 They have them at the base level, those kind of
8 meetings where safety items are brought up. So the
9 whole quality assurance, safety structure is closely
10 intertwined and integrated with that flow of
11 information up.

12 Now, is it as good as it should be? And I
13 would say we are going to improve that, and that data
14 collection system that I talked about, the more readily
15 available forms and us reaching out maybe more
16 aggressively we hope will improve that data flow. so I
17 would say the structure is there, we just want to make
18 sure that every employee understands that structure and
19 is enthused about using it, knowing they will get a
20 response to their input.

21 CHAIRMAN HALL: And finally there is a group
22 of people that basically I guess are extremely
23 important because they pay the bills, the passengers.
24 What input, if there are safety concerns that the
25 passengers had, does USAir have anything, structure in

1 place that would get those concerns to your attention?

2 THE WITNESS: Well, we have customer service
3 forms that are out there, but I would not try and tell
4 you that they have a safety orientation. I feel fairly
5 comfortable that passengers that have a safety concern
6 would use that customer service complaint structure to
7 get that to our concern, to our attention.

8 But I am not sure we have done all that we
9 can in that respect to make sure that the customer
10 feels that that is not just a service complaint in
11 terms of the seat is hard, or the coffee is cold, but
12 in fact it is also a safety avenue. It is there, but
13 from your question, I will tell you I don't walk away
14 with a warm fuzzy.

15 CHAIRMAN HALL: The other item I wanted to
16 ask you about, General, because I am, first of all,
17 obviously pleased. One of the things the Board has
18 recommended over the years is the importance of a
19 corporate culture that builds safety into the factor
20 and having someone that has access to the Chief
21 Executive Officer and the highest levels. But I must
22 admit coming from Washington, D.C., presently, where
23 everyone claims to have access, I must ask you, do you,
24 what is your access to Mr. Schofield and do you have a
25 regular scheduled meeting with him or is that something

1 that you do, you meet with him when you think it is
2 necessary or he is available?

3 THE WITNESS: I feel very comfortable with
4 the access that I have because, first, he has answered
5 every phone call that I have made. He has made an
6 appointment or made a place on his calendar for every
7 appointment I have asked for. I called up and I said
8 we need to go to this corporate safety meeting and he
9 immediately gave me six dates for the next six months
10 when we could have that meeting. So I feel very
11 comfortable saying I have all the access that I need.
12 So I am very comfortable with the access.

13 CHAIRMAN HALL: When you have the monthly
14 safety meeting, who is in attendance?

15 THE WITNESS: Well, I have been here eight
16 weeks and our first one is next week, early part of,
17 within the first week of February. So if I can defer
18 that, I will give you a report back.

19 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay, sir. General, I really
20 appreciate your testimony, and I want to assure you
21 that this Chairman has no question of the commitment to
22 safety of all the parties that are involved in this
23 investigation. Everyone has invested too many of their
24 hours and man-hours and interest and I know that that
25 extends not just on a company, but on a personal basis,

1 because I have seen the anguish of some of the
2 individuals that have come up here trying to work that
3 have been frustrated with their inability to exactly
4 pinpoint to this point. And let me emphasize that we
5 still feel that we are going to, this investigation
6 will lead us to a probable cause.

7 But let me ask you a final question. You had
8 mentioned that you had been involved in the Air Force
9 in a capacity maybe similar to mine or similar to one
10 of our investigators. Is there any suggestions or any
11 areas that you think, other areas that we should
12 explore or anything that you might want to add that
13 would assist us in this investigation?

14 THE WITNESS: Well, I appreciate that
15 question, Mr. Chairman. I guess I would say that we
16 have found, just, I have found in accidents, a lot of
17 them look like there is no answer and you keep pressing
18 on, and you are almost doing it rotely, doing the
19 things that you have learned to do in the past, looking
20 at every little avenue, and you will say, oh, there
21 can't be any answer down that little narrow alley. But
22 you keep pressing down the narrow alleys and suddenly
23 someone finds an answer in a least expected place.

24 I don't have, I can't identify any new alley.
25 I think we have gone over, over those 40 years of

1 getting better, and identified most of the alleys. So
2 I don't have any suggested new alley. But I just think
3 perseverance, pursuing down the alleys that you have
4 directed people toward would be I think the best advice
5 that my experience would lead me to offer.

6 CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you very much, General,
7 we really appreciate your testimony.

8 We will take a 15 minute break and reconvene
9 for our last two witnesses.

10 (Whereupon, at 10:05 a.m., a brief recess was
11 taken.)

12 CHAIRMAN HALL: We will reconvene this
13 hearing. Before I call on our next witness, and my
14 questioning of the General. The General and I were
15 discussing the number of different individuals and
16 groups that are responsible and have an important role
17 in the area of flight safety. And we discussed
18 everyone from the manufacturers of the aircraft and the
19 systems to the flight crew, the cabin crew, maintenance
20 crew, and the passengers, and the Chairman omitted the
21 dispatchers.

22 And the Transport Workers Union of America
23 are observers here and they are the dispatchers for
24 USAir and I appreciate their proactive way of coming up
25 and bringing to my attention that they are an important

1 part of that equation. And I guess the General has
2 probably stepped out of the room but I am sure that he
3 is going to be as receptive to input from the
4 dispatchers as he is going to be from any other group
5 in performing his important safety mission for USAir.

6 With that, our next witness is Captain David
7 Hyde. He is a training pilot for the Boeing Commercial
8 Airplane Group out of Seattle, Washington.

9 Has Mr. Hyde been sworn, Mr. Schleede?

10 MR. SCHLEEDE: Yes.

11 (Witness testimony continues on the next
12 page.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 CAPTAIN DAVID HYDE, TRAINING PILOT, BOEING COMMERCIAL
2 AIRLINE GROUP, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON
3

4 Whereupon,

5 DAVID HYDE,
6 was called as a witness by and on behalf of NTSB, and,
7 after having been duly sworn, was examined and
8 testified on his oath as follows:

9 CHAIRMAN HALL: Welcome, Mr. Hyde. Mr.
10 Schleede will begin the questioning.

11 MR. SCHLEEDE: Captain Hyde, give us your
12 business address for the record, please?

13 THE WITNESS: Yes. Boeing Commercial
14 Airplanes, Box 3707, Seattle, Washington.

15 MR. SCHLEEDE: Could you give us a brief
16 description of your background and education that
17 brings you to your present position?

18 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I started flying in
19 1959. My background is in general aviation. I was a
20 corporate pilot for a couple of years. 1967, I joined
21 Frontier Airlines as a First Officer on Convair-580's.
22 I went through 737 initial training in 1974. In 1978 I
23 went to work in the Frontier training department as a
24 Check Airman on the Convair-580's. A couple of years
25 later I qualified as a Check Airman on the 737.

1 I stayed with Frontier until the airline went
2 out of business in 1986. It was bought by Continental.
3 I flew as a Captain for Continental for a year and a
4 half and then I joined the Boeing company as an
5 Instructor Pilot. I am currently qualified at Boeing
6 as an Instructor Pilot in the 737, 757, 767 and 777
7 aircraft. I have a little over 21,500 hours total
8 flight time and a little over 8,400 of that is in the
9 737.

10 MR. SCHLEEDE: Thank you very much. Mr.
11 Leonard will continue.

12 MR. LEONARD: Thank you. Captain Hyde, can
13 you hear me okay, sir?

14 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

15 MR. LEONARD: So just to recap for a moment,
16 your flight experience includes not only extensive
17 airline operation as a line pilot, in addition to that,
18 a substantial amount of training time as an Instructor
19 Pilot, Check Captain?

20 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

21 MR. LEONARD: And if I heard you correctly,
22 Captain Hyde, you started flying the 737 in 1974, so
23 about 20 years, is that correct, sir?

24 THE WITNESS: Yes.

25 MR. LEONARD: And a substantial amount of

1 that flight time is in the 737 300 aircraft, without
2 asking for a breakdown?

3 THE WITNESS: Yes. It is, the majority of my
4 flight time in the 737 is in the 200, but I have been
5 flying the 300, 400, 500 since 1988.

6 MR. LEONARD: Boeing, in the training area,
7 Boeing aircraft commercial company flies under Part
8 121, is that correct, sir?

9 THE WITNESS: Our training is conducted under
10 Part 121. We are a certified 121 training center. Our
11 flight operations are normally done under Part 91.
12 Whenever we are working with an airline where I am
13 providing initial operating experience for the airline
14 crew, then we are operating under their 121
15 certificate.

16 MR. LEONARD: I see. Thank you.

17 I would like to discuss with you some
18 specific training maneuvers, Captain Hyde. Would you
19 refer to Exhibit 2-G, sir, page 9?

20 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

21 MR. LEONARD: That is an excerpt from the
22 USAir Pilots Handbook, "Abnormal Operations," and would
23 that be, although that is not your document from
24 Boeing, that is essentially the same kind of a
25 document?

1 THE WITNESS: The procedure is the same.
2 They have a note at the bottom that is a little bit
3 different.

4 MR. LEONARD: Okay. The procedure at the
5 bottom, Captain Hyde, that refers to yaw damper, has
6 that been the procedure for the yaw damper malfunction
7 as far back as you recall, sir?

8 THE WITNESS: You mean the only procedure or?

9 MR. LEONARD: Yes, let's just say, let's
10 discuss that, what type of procedures are used where?

11 THE WITNESS: Yes, this is the procedure that
12 is contained in the Operations Manual and the Quick
13 Reference Handbook. There is another procedure in the
14 Airplane Flight Manual which states that if directional
15 hunting or rudder oscillations occur, turn the yaw
16 damper off.

17 MR. LEONARD: Okay. Is that the recent
18 change that --

19 THE WITNESS: Yes. Well, it is -- it has
20 been in the Airplane Flight Manual every since I have
21 been in the airplane.

22 MR. LEONARD: As far as an abnormal
23 operations though, this has been the procedure that has
24 been in effect for some time?

25 THE WITNESS: In the Operations Manual, yes.

1 MR. LEONARD: Okay. Have you in your
2 experience ever had a problem with a yaw damper that
3 you recall, Captain Hyde?

4 THE WITNESS: I don't recall ever having a
5 problem with a yaw damper. I believe that earlier when
6 I was flying for the airlines and we were flying the
7 dash-200 with a SP77 auto pilot, there was a limitation
8 on the use of the aileron yaw channel above 35,000 feet
9 with a yaw damper inoperative, and I may have
10 experienced a time when I was operating the aircraft
11 under those conditions.

12 MR. LEONARD: That's probably it. Well,
13 okay, there was a MEL restriction on the 200, correct?

14 THE WITNESS: Yes.

15 MR. LEONARD: Which is not, there is no MEL
16 minimum equipment list restriction on the dash-300,
17 correct?

18 THE WITNESS: No, there is not. None.

19 MR. LEONARD: Yes. Would you please refer to
20 Exhibit 2-L for us, please, sir? Which is an excerpt
21 from the Boeing 737 Operations Manual.

22 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

23 MR. LEONARD: And I would like to speak for a
24 moment about the maneuver, uncommanded yaw, which is
25 highlighted as the change to that Operations Manual

1 dated December 9th, 1994. Is that, that procedure is a
2 new procedure, correct, sir?

3 THE WITNESS: It was added to the Operations
4 Manual and the Quick Reference Handbook, yes, sir.

5 MR. LEONARD: I see. Do you know the
6 background of that, why that was entered in there,
7 Captain Hyde?

8 THE WITNESS: Yes, we had gotten a telex from
9 our Boeing Field Service Representative in Paris. Air
10 France had had an incident with the yaw damper and they
11 were pointing out that there was the procedure in the
12 Airplane Flight Manual that was not contained in the
13 Operations Manual or the Quick Reference Handbook, and
14 they were wanting to know why there was this
15 difference.

16 We put it through our normal procedures to
17 check it out and go through the process. We agreed
18 with them that it should be in the Operations Manual
19 and the Quick Reference Handbook. That, this change
20 was approved last July to be included in the next block
21 revision to the manuals, which was December 9th.

22 MR. LEONARD: Okay. Thank you, sir. Would
23 you please describe to the Board the type of training
24 that flight crews being trained by Boeing receive in
25 the use of standby rudder?

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. There are procedures
2 in the Quick Reference Handbook that require the flight
3 crews to place the flight control switch in the standby
4 rudder position. These are associated with hydraulic
5 non-normals. It would be with the loss of hydraulic
6 system A. Procedure would require the flight crew to
7 move the flight control switch to standby rudder. The
8 same thing with flight control B and then there is the
9 procedure. If you lost both hydraulic systems A and B,
10 it would require you to do that.

11 There is another procedure for flight control
12 low pressure light. That light would come on with the
13 loss of the hydraulic systems. That would require the
14 flight crews to go to standby rudder.

15 MR. LEONARD: And those are the only
16 procedures as far as you know in the use of --

17 THE WITNESS: Yes.

18 MR. LEONARD: To go back for a moment, sir, I
19 jumped ahead of myself. As far as yaw damper training
20 is concerned for flight crews, could you discuss with
21 us what type of training flight crews receive in the
22 area of dutch roll or yaw damper malfunctions?

23 THE WITNESS: At Boeing we do not do dutch
24 roll training in the 737 aircraft. We think that the
25 dutch roll tendencies of the aircraft are very mild.

1 It is not much of a maneuver.

2 The yaw damper is covered in the fixed based
3 simulator sessions. There is a couple of lessons where
4 it is discussed at length in the briefing process and
5 then the flight, the students will experience a yaw
6 damper inoperative during the flight portion and go
7 through the procedure.

8 MR. LEONARD: Would that type of malfunction
9 include a light illuminating or would it be any kind of
10 a yaw?

11 THE WITNESS: No, it is just associated with
12 the light.

13 MR. LEONARD: With the light, I see. Okay.

14 Captain Hyde, would you refer to Exhibit 2-R,
15 please, sir? That's a Boeing Flight Operations Review
16 dated July 13th, 1993. I wonder if you would give us
17 some information, background information on that
18 publication, how it is developed, and if you know how
19 it is distributed, we would appreciate that.

20 THE WITNESS: Yes. The Flight Operations
21 Review article, it is titled "Guidelines for Situations
22 Which are Beyond the Scope of Non-Normal Procedures."
23 This was written by the Flight Training Department at
24 Boeing in response to some requests that we had gotten
25 from several airlines to expand this type of

1 information. It was written, the distribution, we send
2 ten copies to each of the Boeing operators that we have
3 records of. Five copies go to the Flight Operations
4 department and five copies go to the Maintenance and
5 Engineering department.

6 MR. LEONARD: Are some of these procedures or
7 techniques implemented in your training?

8 THE WITNESS: Well, certainly. During the
9 training, you are always trying to enhance the
10 students ' awareness of what is going on around them.
11 You know, making them alert to anomalies that they can
12 experience. Just looking at the page here, down at the
13 bottom, we talk about, you know, if aileron control is
14 affected, rudder inputs can assist in countering
15 unwanted roll tendencies, and the reverse is true, is
16 also true if rudder control is affected.

17 We discuss this and cover these procedures in
18 our training during our engine-out familiarization.
19 Our flight crew training manual, Section 2, page 25,
20 has a statement in it under "Instrument Conditions,"
21 "the instrument scan is centered around the attitude
22 indicator. Roll is usually the first indication of an
23 asymmetric condition. Roll control" and then in
24 parentheses "(ailerons) should be used to hold the
25 wings level or maintain the desired bank angle. The

1 rudder should be applied to approximately center the
2 wheel."

3 So these type things, it is just, it is an
4 emphasis, we carry it through the entire training
5 process.

6 MR. LEONARD: Thank you. I would like to
7 change, shift the emphasis for a moment. We have
8 discussed in previous testimony the fact that the 737
9 300 has a somewhat advanced auto flight system which
10 includes auto throttles, auto pilot flight director
11 system which receive information from a fairly advanced
12 flight management computer.

13 Could you please compare this system with,
14 say, older generation aircraft, please?

15 THE WITNESS: Well, the 737 airplane has
16 evolved quite a bit from the initial 100, 200 design.
17 The first aircraft that came out were, used the SP77
18 auto pilot which provided the ability to track VOR's.
19 You could actually fly a coupled ILS approach.

20 As the airplane continued to evolve,
21 especially when fuel conservation became such a big
22 issue, they started putting performance, management
23 computers, or performance data computer systems in the
24 airplane which would control, give the pilot vertical
25 guidance and fuel economy for flight.

1 The system continued to grow with the
2 development of the 300 model aircraft, and it was, it
3 also included the ability to provide lateral navigation
4 through the flight management computer system. This is
5 actually quite a leap forward.

6 The system later continued to grow to include
7 the glass cockpit and the electronic flight instrument
8 system.

9 MR. LEONARD: If you would for a moment, run
10 us through or lead us through a profile, and I will
11 develop my parameters for you here as we go. Take it
12 as a crew, say, is descending to a specific altitude
13 and, say, making a turn. Use your own judgement, try
14 to fly us through how a crew would program something
15 like that and how the auto pilot would respond to that
16 type of information.

17 THE WITNESS: Well, to start with, at Boeing
18 we recommend that the crews program the flight
19 management computer system during non-busy parts of the
20 flight. We don't recommend that they program it in
21 terminal areas where they should be directing their
22 attention for traffic and other duties.

23 To program a descent in it, if you are flying
24 the aircraft in vertical navigation, the system will
25 actually compute based on speed or altitude

1 restrictions that the pilots put in the system over a
2 given way point, it will back up from that way point
3 and compute a vertical descent path and a top of
4 descent point.

5 Once you reach the top of descent point -- or
6 excuse me, as you are approaching the top of descent
7 point, before the aircraft will actually start down,
8 the pilot is required to change the mode control panel
9 altitude in the aircraft.

10 MR. LEONARD: And that is an instrument right
11 in front of the pilot?

12 THE WITNESS: It is an instrument on the
13 glare shield, the mode control panel. If the pilot
14 does not change the altitude in the mode control panel,
15 then he will get a message advising him that he needs
16 to reset MCP altitude.

17 Once he does that, which he should not do
18 until he gets his clearance from air traffic control,
19 sets the lower altitude in, then as the aircraft
20 approaches the top of descent point, it will begin its
21 descent. And it will make this at the most economical
22 method.

23 MR. LEONARD: And by adjustment of throttle
24 position, then the rate of descent --

25 THE WITNESS: It will compute it at throttles

1 in idle. It will make adjustments, if you tell the
2 system that you need to use like engine anti-ice, then
3 it makes the proper adjustments to maintain the proper
4 engine RPM.

5 MR. LEONARD: I recognize that there are some
6 differences in this aircraft, and even the more
7 advanced airplanes, but would this aircraft's flight
8 system, auto flight system, compare in degree of
9 complexity to, say, the newer aircraft even, the 777
10 that you are flying?

11 THE WITNESS: Yes, to a degree it does.
12 There is, you know, there is even more advancements in
13 the new aircraft. But this is by no means, you know, a
14 non-advanced aircraft.

15 MR. LEONARD: How dependable is this auto
16 flight system, Captain Hyde?

17 THE WITNESS: It is very dependable. You
18 know, it is like any computer system. You know, the
19 pilots have to program it correctly, you know, provide
20 it with the right information for it to be able to do
21 its job.

22 MR. LEONARD: And what type of specific
23 training does Boeing provide the crews that go through
24 your training program in the use of this auto flight
25 system?

1 THE WITNESS: Well, the Boeing training
2 program is a transition course which means that the
3 pilots that we train have already been flying jet
4 equipment. So that we are teaching them the particular
5 aircraft that they are going to school on, in this
6 case, the 737.

7 A large part of the training that we do is on
8 the systems. The flight management computer is a large
9 part of that training.

10 MR. LEONARD: Would you for a moment, Captain
11 Hyde, refer to Exhibit 2-T, please? 2-T, "tango." And
12 that is an excerpt from the Boeing 737 flight crew
13 training manual. And specifically, it is talking about
14 automatic flight. The section to which I would to
15 refer, sir, is on the right hand side, the second
16 paragraph. It says, it talks about automatic
17 complacency, and you could put in different words and
18 substitute complacency. Could you discuss with that,
19 with us for a few moments that concept and what your
20 reaction is to it?

21 THE WITNESS: Well, there is a concern as the
22 airplanes become more automated that the pilots are
23 kind of taken out of the loop of, you know, what the
24 airplane is doing. You know, we are concerned about
25 this. We spend a great deal of time in training trying

1 to prevent this automatic complacency.

2 You know, these systems work extremely well.
3 As pilots get more used to them in the training
4 environment, they want to use them more. They start
5 developing more trust in the system. You know, we are
6 concerned that they will just totally trust it and not
7 monitor the system, and this is not the case.

8 We try to emphasize during training that it
9 is one pilot, one of the two pilots in the cockpit has
10 a responsibility to monitor the airplane at all times.
11 And, you know, they need to be aware that they can have
12 system anomalies. They could have an auto throttle
13 malfunction, that if they were not aware of, could
14 create problems for them. They can have problems with
15 the flight management computer system. It is not very
16 common in this country, it does happen that you can get
17 a map shift with the navigation system. You know, we
18 have the ability in our simulators at Boeing to
19 introduce map shifts so that they see these type of
20 non-normals.

21 MR. LEONARD: In terms of the auto flight
22 system on the 737 300, if there were a malfunction of a
23 flight control unit, some aileron, elevator, rudder,
24 how do you think the auto pilot system, auto flight
25 system would respond to that type of malfunction? Do

1 you have any thoughts on that?

2 THE WITNESS: I think the auto pilot is
3 trying to, is going to try to do the best it can to
4 maintain the parameters that you have asked it to
5 maintain. I know the auto pilot on the 737 is a two
6 channel, you have an aileron channel and an elevator
7 channel.

8 We, again, spend a lot of time in training,
9 going over with the pilots the necessity for
10 maintaining proper rudder control, especially when they
11 are flying the aircraft single engine. They can fly it
12 single engine on the auto pilot, but they need to
13 understand that as thrust, speed changes are made, that
14 they have to keep the rudder in trim. You know, we
15 spend quite a bit of time with this. We also during
16 the training have them fly single engine ILS on the
17 auto pilot to emphasize the rudder control more.

18 MR. LEONARD: To shift for a moment, Captain
19 Hyde, as an experienced pilot and instructor, what
20 thoughts would you have on the subject of transfer of
21 aircraft control within a cockpit?

22 THE WITNESS: Well, this again is something,
23 you know, it is part of Boeing's cockpit resource
24 management, you know, that you teach the crews.

25 I can go back to the point I just made, that

1 somebody has to watch the airplane at all times. You
2 know, we emphasize to the pilots that they, any time
3 that they have to, if they are the pilot that is flying
4 and they have to divert their attention away from
5 monitoring the aircraft, that they transfer control to
6 the other pilot, do whatever they need to do, you know,
7 get an approach plate out of their flight bag or
8 whatever, and then once they are prepared to devote
9 their full attention back to the aircraft, then they
10 advise the other pilot that they are regaining control.

11 MR. LEONARD: Earlier this morning, Captain
12 Traub from United testified about an advanced maneuver
13 package that they are implementing or have implemented
14 into their program. What are your thoughts,
15 impressions on that program, Captain Hyde?

16 THE WITNESS: Yes. You know, I think United,
17 you know, should be commended for the job they have
18 done in this area. This is training that historically
19 has not been presented to the airline pilots. I know
20 that the Boeing company is becoming involved with this.

21 There was a Flight Safety Foundation meeting
22 in Lisbon, Portugal last November that Boeing attended.
23 They developed a task force to study the recovery from
24 unusual attitudes and, which actually is chaired by
25 Captain Ed Soladay at United, and Boeing is a member of

1 this task force. So we are looking at it.

2 I think that the training would be very
3 beneficial, but I think that it is something that has
4 to be studied before implemented.

5 MR. LEONARD: With your extensive flight
6 experience in all phases of airline flying, line
7 flying, training flying, could you share with us any
8 thoughts that might have that might help us in this
9 investigation, or anything else you would like to
10 suggest.

11 THE WITNESS: Well, I am not an accident
12 investigator and I haven't been, I have not
13 participated in the investigation. You know, I was not
14 a member of any of the committees and, you know, I
15 really, the bulk of my knowledge was what I have heard
16 here the last couple of days.

17 MR. LEONARD: Thank you very much, Captain
18 Hyde, I appreciate it. I have no further questions.

19 CHAIRMAN HALL: Do any of the parties have a
20 question for this witness? I see two hands.

21 Mr. Purvis with Boeing Commercial Airplane
22 Group.

23 MR. PURVIS: I would like to go last.

24 CHAIRMAN HALL: Oh, I am sorry. Yes.
25 Captain, with the Airline Pilots Association, as a

1 courtesy we always let, if there is a company
2 representative, let them have the last question, and
3 the Chairman overlooked that.

4 Captain, please proceed.

5 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

6 Good morning, Captain Hyde.

7 THE WITNESS: Good morning.

8 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Just a couple of areas I
9 would like to talk about. Earlier, Mr. Leonard
10 cautioned you about some yaw damper changes in the
11 checklist and in the Operations Manual at Boeing. Do
12 you know when the revision dated December 9th of '94,
13 apparently Boeing made a change to add the uncommanded
14 yaw.

15 THE WITNESS: Yes.

16 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Is that correct? You
17 testified I believe that it was in the Flight Manual.
18 Do you know how far back it was in the Flight Manual?

19 THE WITNESS: It has been in the Flight
20 Manual as long as I have been in the airplane, so that
21 would be back '74.

22 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Okay. And it just recently,
23 your testimony was it was just recently moved to the
24 Operations Manual since the Air France incident, is
25 that correct?

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, that is correct.

2 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Were you here for Captain
3 Johnson's testimony from USAir yesterday?

4 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

5 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Captain Johnson testified
6 that USAir has taken the initiative to take this action
7 and not only put it in their "Abnormals" but to put it
8 into their emergency checklist as a memory item.

9 THE WITNESS: Yes.

10 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Would you say this exceeds
11 what Boeing recommends?

12 THE WITNESS: As a matter of fact, I would.
13 I don't necessarily agree with putting the procedure as
14 a memory item. You know, the procedure is, was written
15 to address a yaw damper malfunction. The yaw damper
16 has very limited authority on the aircraft. Even if
17 the yaw damper went to the full extent of its
18 authority, it is not a non-controllable event. It is
19 very easily controlled with aileron. I don't know,
20 maybe not easily, but it is controllable with aileron.

21 To make it a memory item I think, the
22 position that we take at Boeing is that we would prefer
23 the flight crew in this type of an event, to devote
24 their primary attention to the flight path control of
25 the aircraft, not trying to remember what the memory

1 steps in the procedure is. Maintain flight path
2 control and then let's go through the checklist
3 procedure and analyze the situation and take care of
4 the problem.

5 CAPTAIN LeGROW: So you would suggest that if
6 a flight crew had an uncommanded yaw event, that he
7 would take no action until he got the manual out and
8 went through the abnormal procedures, as opposed to
9 having it on the emergency checklist?

10 THE WITNESS: No, I didn't say he would take
11 no action. What I said was that he would maintain
12 flight path control of the aircraft. That is the
13 primary concern.

14 CAPTAIN LeGROW: That would be assuming he
15 had it, had control at that point?

16 THE WITNESS: Certainly.

17 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Thank you. You took Mr.
18 Leonard through a descent in a new generation airplane
19 with the flight management computer. Would you also,
20 is it also not possible for a flight crew in a descent
21 environment to control the airplane with auto flight
22 without using the flight management computer?

23 THE WITNESS: Yes.

24 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Would you explain that just
25 briefly?

1 THE WITNESS: There is actually three, maybe
2 even four modes of descending the aircraft, if you want
3 to consider glide slope. The preferred method, as long
4 as air traffic control will let you do it, would be to
5 do a V-Nav path descent, which is the most economical
6 way of descending the aircraft.

7 The next step up would be to use a level
8 change descent or flight level change, depending on
9 which aircraft you are in. This type of a descent
10 assumes that the auto throttles move to flight idle and
11 the aircraft will descent at whatever speed you have
12 selected in the mode control panel speed window.

13 The least desirable would be use a vertical
14 speed descent. And this, you can select whatever rate
15 of descent that you want the aircraft to maintain.

16 CAPTAIN LeGROW: So you can control the
17 vertical path of the airplane without the flight
18 management computer?

19 THE WITNESS: Absolutely.

20 CAPTAIN LeGROW: In other words, the pilot
21 wouldn't have to have his head down and be typing on
22 the computer keyboard, is that correct?

23 THE WITNESS: I hope that he doesn't.

24 CAPTAIN LeGROW: I would hope so too. Mr.
25 Leonard touched on some areas about the complexity of

1 some of the airplanes and, if I may, Boeing makes some
2 of the finest airplanes in the world, no doubt, and has
3 expended a lot of technology to have these things
4 available to the pilots. Boeing certainly would not
5 suggest that the pilots would not use all these aids
6 available to them, would they?

7 THE WITNESS: We would try to encourage the
8 pilots to use the appropriate aids that are available
9 to them. You know, obviously, with the technology, we
10 find a lot of times, especially when pilots are new to
11 the airplane, that they are still in the learning mode
12 and there may be a tendency to try to overuse some of
13 the technology. You know, this,, we try to stress on
14 them in training not to do this.

15 If you are in a situation where you are
16 coming into an airport, you know, the best example is
17 if you get a runway change for an ILS approach, is
18 don't try to reprogram the FMC for the new approach.
19 You know, all of the airplanes that we build now with
20 the glass cockpit, have the ability to present the same
21 display that were in the older generation aircraft. So
22 it is much, much better to turn all the new stuff off,
23 go back to the old stuff, fly your approach and pay
24 attention to your airplane.

25 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Okay. Thank you. Were you

1 here for Mr. Traub's testimony, or Captain Traub's
2 testimony earlier, and I believe Mr. Leonard asked you
3 a few questions on the recovery for unusual attitudes.
4 Mr. Traub testified that when Boeing put together their
5 unusual attitude program at Boeing -- I mean at United,
6 that the FAA wasn't involved. He also testified that
7 no other domestic airline in this country that he is
8 aware of offers this. He also testified that he was
9 not aware of British Airways' program, like program.

10 My question is, are you are aware of an ATA
11 study group that has been formed to study this issue in
12 the airlines?

13 THE WITNESS: Right now I am because I heard
14 Captain Johnson's testimony yesterday when he was
15 discussing it. But prior to that time, I was not.

16 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Do you think that is an
17 appropriate approach in your view to get the input of
18 all the airlines in the industry, the manufacturers,
19 the labor unions and everybody else together to put
20 together a program that, and the FAA, of course, to get
21 together to put together a program or to study to see
22 if it is a worthwhile endeavor?

23 THE WITNESS: Certainly. You know, Boeing is
24 participating through the Flight Safety Foundation with
25 the task force to do just that. And I am sure, based

1 on past experiences, that Boeing will not consider
2 doing that unless all the parties are involved.

3 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Thank you. One other area I
4 would like to discuss, and that is with simulators. Do
5 you, in your work at Boeing, do you instruct on the
6 simulators as well as the airplanes?

7 THE WITNESS: Yes.

8 CAPTAIN LeGROW: And I know you are probably
9 not a simulator engineer, and I know they are very
10 complex machines, but do you know by any chance if the
11 simulators used at Boeing or at most airlines, when
12 simulators get to a 45, somewhere between a 45 or a 40,
13 or a 60 degree bank, is this flight test data when it
14 gets over that, or is it derived data?

15 THE WITNESS: I cannot state certain which it
16 is. I believe that the aeropackage that Boeing
17 presents, and this again is I believe, I don't know for
18 an absolute fact, I believe the aeropackage is based on
19 flight test data and it is only valid within the
20 operating envelope of the airplane.

21 CAPTAIN LeGROW: So that would be?

22 THE WITNESS: It would be 45 degrees plus 15
23 degrees over bank, 60 degrees.

24 CAPTAIN LeGROW: So anything over 60 degrees
25 to bank would either be extrapolated data or it would

1 be derived data, it wouldn't be actual flight test
2 data?

3 THE WITNESS: I don't think that Boeing is
4 going to take the airplanes out and start doing rolls
5 and loops to gather this information.

6 CAPTAIN LeGROW: The point I am trying to
7 make, Captain Hyde, is when you get in a simulator and
8 you are getting in excess of 60 degrees bank, you are
9 not dealing with real flight test data, are you?

10 THE WITNESS: As far as I know, you are not.

11 CAPTAIN LeGROW: So this would be derived?

12 THE WITNESS: I would assume.

13 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Thank you.

14 I have no further questions, Mr. Chairman.

15 CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you, Captain.

16 Mr. Purvis.

17 MR. PURVIS: Captain Hyde, you earlier talked
18 about the change in the Ops Manual and the addition of
19 the uncommanded yaw to the Ops Manual. Do you recall
20 the words that were in the Flight Manual that have been
21 there since you can recall?

22 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I have them written
23 down here on my notes. It is, "If directional hunting
24 or rudder oscillations occur, turn yaw damper off."

25 MR. PURVIS: Thank you very much. I have no

1 other questions.

2 CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you, Mr. Purvis.

3 Mr. Marx.

4 MR. MARX: I just have a few questions. You
5 indicated in your testimony that even though the crew
6 would be flying on auto pilot, they still monitor the
7 controls.

8 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

9 MR. MARX: How do they monitor the rudder?

10 THE WITNESS: Well, again, it would probably
11 depend on where they are in the flight. You know, a
12 lot of times when I am flying the airplane, when I am
13 in cruise, I will put my feet on the floor. Normally,
14 up until the time I reach cruise, I always keep my feet
15 on the rudder pedals, which would be the way you would
16 monitor them.

17 MR. MARX: Does the auto pilot control rudder
18 movement? I think we have had prior testimony to this,
19 but --

20 THE WITNESS: On the 737 the auto pilot does
21 not control the rudder at all.

22 MR. MARX: Thank you.

23 CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Clark.

24 MR. CLARK: No questions.

25 CHAIRMAN HALL: No Schleede.

1 MR. SCHLEEDE: Just a couple about the memory
2 items, we were discussing the yaw damper. What
3 constitutes what Boeing puts in their manual for a
4 memory item?

5 THE WITNESS: The memory items are immediate
6 attention items. You know, an engine fire. But, you
7 know, even with an engine fire, there are times that,
8 like an engine fire immediately after takeoff, we still
9 say wait until you have aircraft control established.
10 You know, we teach the students don't do anything but
11 fly the airplane till you are at least at 400 feet. I
12 know some airlines use 1,000 feet before they do
13 anything. You know, it is, the memory items at Boeing
14 are items that we feel need immediate attention.
15 Engine fire, rapid depressurization, emergency descent,
16 you know, these type items.

17 MR. SCHLEEDE: But an airline can add to the
18 list that Boeing puts in the manual?

19 THE WITNESS: Certainly.

20 MR. SCHLEEDE: Is there a best way, in this
21 particular instance with the yaw damper, a yaw event,
22 either having a memory or not having a memory, is, in
23 your view, one better than the other or one worse than
24 the other?

25 THE WITNESS: Well, you know, I have read the

1 reports that came back from the Air France incident
2 and, you know, the crew at Air France handled the
3 situation I think exactly the way we would have
4 expected them to, and that is they maintained flight
5 path control of the airplane. They analyzed the
6 situation. You know, they had the uncommanded yaw.
7 They put their feet on the rudder pedals, they hadn't
8 moved. They checked the yaw damper indicator on the
9 front panel and noticed that it was deflected. They
10 deduced that the yaw damper had malfunctioned and they
11 turned the switch off.

12 MR. SCHLEEDE: Are you familiar with the
13 Continental Airlines event over Honduras?

14 THE WITNESS: A little bit, yes, sir.

15 MR. SCHLEEDE: Are you aware that the flight
16 crew did not turn off the yaw damper?

17 THE WITNESS: Yes.

18 MR. SCHLEEDE: Do you, does that present a
19 safety flight item, if you do have a malfunctioning one
20 and try to land with it?

21 THE WITNESS: Oh, I don't think so. Again,
22 the yaw damper has limited authority. You know, you
23 can control it with nothing but the ailerons if you
24 desire.

25 MR. SCHLEEDE: Thank you very much.

1 CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Laynor.

2 MR. LAYNOR: No questions.

3 CHAIRMAN HALL: Captain, thank you very much
4 for your testimony.

5 THE WITNESS: Certainly.

6 CHAIRMAN HALL: You are excused.

7 (Witness excused.)

8 CHAIRMAN HALL: Our final witness, if I can
9 find our final witness, for today is Mr. David Bowden,
10 the Principal Operations Inspector for the Federal
11 Aviation Administration in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

12 (Witness testimony continues on the next
13 page.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 DAVID L. BOWDEN, PRINCIPAL OPERATIONS INSPECTOR,
2 FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION, PITTSBURGH,
3 PENNSYLVANIA
4

5 Whereupon,

6 DAVID BOWDEN,
7 was called as a witness by and on behalf of NTSB, and,
8 after having been duly sworn, was examined and
9 testified on his oath as follows:

10 CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Bowden, welcome. Mr.
11 Schleede will begin the questioning.

12 MR. SCHLEEDE: Please give us your full name
13 and business address for our record.

14 THE WITNESS: David L. Bowden, Pittsburgh,
15 Pennsylvania.

16 MR. SCHLEEDE: And you work for the FAA?

17 THE WITNESS: That's correct.

18 MR. SCHLEEDE: Your position at the FAA?

19 THE WITNESS: POI, Principal Operations
20 Inspector.

21 MR. SCHLEEDE: Could you give us a brief
22 description of your background and training that
23 qualifies you for your current position?

24 THE WITNESS: Yes. I graduated from college
25 in 1968. I joined the Air Force as a pilot, went

1 through pilot training, then for the next five years
2 flew KC-135's.

3 When I left the Air Force, I went to Spartan
4 where I got my CFI and my Airframe and Power Plant
5 Mechanic's Rating. I then went with Mission Aviation
6 Fellowship, was assigned in Brazil as a missionary bush
7 pilot in the Amazon area and spent three years there.

8 I returned to the States and worked in a
9 college for six years and then returned to aviation. I
10 was a corporate pilot flying Learns and Hawkers for a
11 year prior to coming in the FAA.

12 I came into the FAA in 1987 here in
13 Pittsburgh. I was originally assigned as an assistant
14 to the POI. After I believe a year and a half in that
15 position I became the Air Crew Program Manager on the
16 DC-9's and then a little over four years ago became the
17 POI.

18 I have a CFI, ANP, an ATP. I have type
19 ratings in the Boeing 707, 720, Learjet and DC-9.

20 MR. SCHLEEDE: Approximately how much flying
21 time do you have?

22 THE WITNESS: Four thousand hours.

23 MR. SCHLEEDE: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Leonard
24 will proceed.

25 MR. LEONARD: Can you hear me okay, Mr.

1 Bowden?

2 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

3 MR. LEONARD: Would you please explain to us
4 your functions as a Principal Operations Inspector?

5 THE WITNESS: I am the liaison between USAir
6 and the FAA on operational issues. That includes
7 pilots, flight attendants, dispatcher would be the
8 normal areas you would think of. Just to give you a
9 little overview of what my job entails, as you are
10 probably aware, USAir flies 2600 flights a day, almost
11 a million flights a year, and in all of these areas
12 there are operations specifications that govern these
13 and I approve those op specs.

14 There is also a major training program that
15 USAir has. I have referenced it in the past as a
16 university. It is made up of almost 5,000 pilots, over
17 9,000 flight attendants and 150 dispatchers, almost
18 15,000 individuals within this university in those
19 three separate sections.

20 You heard testimony from Captain Johnson
21 yesterday. He heads up the pilots section of this
22 training program. There are within this training
23 section somewhere around 130 approved programs, and I
24 approve those, each one of those programs.

25 I have a staff of 12 inspectors. Each of

1 those inspectors are rated pilots in one of USAir's
2 equipment types. My staff is broken down into eight
3 air crew program managers. They are the technical
4 experts on each piece of equipment that USAir operates.

5 They go through the same identical training
6 program that a USAir pilot would go through. In
7 addition to that, they also go through the check airman
8 training program. And then their function is to work
9 within that training program with the flight manager,
10 with the check airman, with the air crew program
11 designees and to monitor the training, monitor the
12 check airman, monitor the manuals, recommend approvals
13 to me, and be really the technical expert on each piece
14 of equipment.

15 The other four people in the office, in the
16 assistant's shop, do, they assist the APM's at times,
17 because they are typed in the airplanes. They so
18 surveillance as well. They also do the administrative
19 functions.

20 We handle, you can imagine with almost a
21 million flights a year, there are numerous
22 administrative functions. We handle passenger
23 complaints. We handle incidents. We are normally
24 investigating five to fifteen areas each day on a
25 continuing basis. There are numerous events that

1 happen out there, rejects, turn backs that we take a
2 look at, and this requires the staff to be quite busy
3 doing the administrative functions.

4 In addition to this staff, there is also a
5 cabin safety specialist in the office, and she is not
6 assigned directly to me, she is assigned to region, but
7 she spends about 90 percent of her time working on the
8 cabin safety issues.

9 MR. LEONARD: Thank you. I would like to ask
10 you at this time to refer to Exhibit 2-A, page 23, sir.
11 In this, on this page we are talking about several
12 issues relating to your functions. The section to
13 which I would like to refer now is the third to the
14 last paragraph. It starts off with, "He has initiated
15 a spirit of partnership with USAir." I wondered, Mr.
16 Bowden, if you would please expand upon that and
17 explain to us what that area involves.

18 THE WITNESS: Well, about five years ago the
19 FAA came out, the FAA Administrator came out with a
20 compliance through partnership philosophy. And when I
21 first became the POI a little over four years ago, we
22 were just in the initial stages of discussing altitude
23 deviations with both ALPA and the company.

24 At that point the philosophy we were using in
25 the FAA was whenever there was an altitude deviation,

1 air traffic control would send us a package on that.
2 We would do a certificate action, a violation process
3 on the crew, and that was pretty much the extent of
4 what we were doing.

5 USAir was running anywhere from three to four
6 altitude deviations per month and it was the major
7 problem area that had been identified by all the
8 parties concerned. ALPA and the USAir safety
9 department and the FAA got together at that point and
10 decided that there must be a better way to really take
11 a look at this problem and see if it couldn't be
12 solved.

13 And that created the Altitude Awareness
14 Program. Basically, the way this program got underway
15 is ALPA and the company went out and did some extensive
16 research of all the other carriers out there and what
17 their procedures were. They found some procedures
18 that, from a smaller company, that, and this smaller
19 company was operating DC-9's and had not had an
20 altitude deviation.

21 They incorporated these procedures into their
22 procedures. And then what we did was, as we had
23 altitude deviations after that, we brought the crews in
24 to find out why it was happening. We did an intensive
25 study of this. And this program lasted for about a

1 year and a half.

2 And by the time this program ended, the
3 altitude deviations were running about one-half
4 deviation per month, so it was a major improvement in
5 the whole area. And it just showed us a new way of
6 doing business.

7 MR. LEONARD: Thank you. USAir has
8 experienced several accidents in recent years, two of
9 which occurred in 1994. Would you please describe what
10 the response has been by your FAA office to these
11 accidents?

12 THE WITNESS: I think it has been stated
13 already by the time an accident happens, it is a little
14 late to be doing something. You try to be proactive.
15 And what we have tried to do with the Altitude
16 Awareness Program is to do things that are proactive,
17 that keep these kind of things from happening in the
18 first place.

19 Obviously, we look at each individual
20 accident, and if there is something to be learned from
21 that accident, then we want to take advantage of that
22 and make changes.

23 However, about a year ago we started some
24 initiatives within the company that were reviewed as a
25 result of the two accidents by upper level FAA

1 management. They were convinced that the initiatives
2 we already had in place were the proper ones. There
3 were some slight modifications to those. But,
4 basically, we had already started something prior to
5 the accidents and that is our plan right now is to
6 proceed with the initiatives that we had in place at
7 that point.

8 MR. LEONARD: There have been several key
9 management changes, changes in the key management
10 personnel positions in training and safety departments
11 at USAir in the last year or so.. What is your
12 perception of the significance of those changes?

13 THE WITNESS: Well, basically, what USAir has
14 done during the past year or two is they have offered
15 some early out incentives to some of their senior
16 pilots and some of the management people have taken
17 advantage of this. It is the normal turnover,
18 retirements and people replacing them. So, therefore,
19 it is just a normal chain of events.

20 You have just heard from General Oaks. My
21 perception in this particular case is that that
22 represented something more, that also was a retirement.
23 But when they made the, when they hired General Oaks,
24 they changed the structure. And I had always had a
25 good working relationship on the operations side with

1 the director of safety.

2 However, General Oaks coming in and the
3 change of the structure now means that the other areas
4 within USAir, the maintenance area, the flight
5 attendant area, the ground services area, are all going
6 to come together under one umbrella. So that the
7 safety issues that go beyond operations, it is going to
8 be a lot easier in the future to deal with those
9 issues.

10 MR. LEONARD: Thank you. There have been
11 some reports of USAir aircraft departing gates,
12 passenger flights, without the appropriate dispatch
13 fuel on board. I wondered in you would comment on
14 those reports and the reaction of your office to those
15 incidents, please?

16 THE WITNESS: I believe that was back in the
17 summer of '93 when a series of events took place. At
18 that point in time, USAir had made some changes to
19 their fueling procedures, and I believe it was within
20 about a one month period of time when the changes, a
21 couple of changes, minor changes had been made, and two
22 crews left Pittsburgh without the proper fuel on board.
23 One turned around and returned to the field. Another
24 one continued on to the destination. I believe there
25 was another crew that taxied out without enough fuel

1 and came back.

2 When I became aware of this, the first thing
3 I did was look at USAir's procedures to see if the
4 procedures were adequate and if the carrier was in
5 compliance. And although they had made some changes to
6 their procedures, they still were in compliance. Fuel
7 was still, was on the checklist. Fuel was on the
8 dispatch release. And it, obviously, at that point, it
9 is a crew problem if a crew does leave the gate without
10 fuel.

11 However, because of the changes, and because
12 there had not been any incidents and there were all of
13 a sudden three of them within a short period of time, I
14 decided it was worth taking a look into. And so in the
15 spirit of partnership, we talked with the two First
16 Officers who were involved in the takeoffs out of
17 Pittsburgh. We talked with the crew that was involved
18 in taxiing out without the fuel and coming back to the
19 gate, to find out why it was happening, and to get some
20 recommendations. And ALPA safety was involved with
21 this, the safety department of USAir was involved with
22 this.

23 I then sat down with my staff to talk about
24 it, to see what kind of recommendations they had. I
25 had a meeting with USAir's flight managers to talk

1 about the issue, to see what kind of recommendations
2 they had. We talked with individual pilots, USAir
3 pilots and out of that, we made several recommendations
4 to the company. The company studied the areas well and
5 instituted some changes. And since the changes have
6 come in place, I am not aware of any further incidents.

7 MR. LEONARD: I would like to shift for a
8 moment, please, Mr. Bowden, to the area of Advisory
9 Circulars that are published by the Federal Aviation
10 Administration. And could you first tell us how these
11 Advisory Circulars are distributed to an air carrier
12 such as USAir?

13 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. We have Advisory
14 Circulars, we have numerous documents that come in the
15 office. Air Carrier Operations Bulletins, Handbook
16 Bulletins. We review them to see if they pertain to
17 USAir in the first place. There are some Advisory
18 Circulars that only pertain to certain types of
19 equipment that it would not be necessary for USAir to
20 have.

21 My assistant then has a, is in charge of this
22 area, and all of the Advisory Circulars and other
23 documents that are required to go to USAir, he ensures
24 that they receive them. And they go to whoever the
25 appropriate person is. Some of them deal with training

1 issues. Some of them deal with operations issues.

2 Then what we have within our computer system
3 is we have to acknowledge that we have in fact given
4 these to the carrier. And we do this, we have two
5 systems, one that goes directly to Washington, and also
6 another one, a follow-up system as far as the region,
7 Eastern Region, is concerned.

8 MR. LEONARD: I wish to ask you to refer to
9 Exhibit 2-N, please, Mr. Bowden, which is an Advisory
10 Circular, 121-59, "Air Carrier Internal Evaluations
11 Program." I wonder if you could explain that briefly
12 to us and tell us what USAir's response has been to
13 that Advisory Circular?

14 THE WITNESS: Yes. In the past the carriers
15 had a safety department and about up until I would say
16 about four years ago there was a Director of Safety.
17 And then at that point they brought in what is called,
18 what they call at that point Internal Audit Check
19 Airmen. These Internal Audit Check Airmen were Check
20 Airmen off the different pieces of equipment.

21 They then teamed up with the Director of
22 Safety and formed this Internal Evaluation program.
23 Their role is to monitor the activities in the
24 simulator. Go out and fly in route checks with the
25 pilots. They actually do a similar role to what we do

1 as inspectors, in doing surveillance on the different
2 activities within the company.

3 The difference that you have heard this
4 morning is that as of the General Oaks coming on board.
5 The director of safety in the operations area now
6 reports directly to General Oaks, so the safety
7 department has now been placed outside of the
8 operations section of the company, which is in
9 accordance with this Advisory Circular. So it is a
10 step forward for that program.

11 MR. LEONARD: So would you say that their
12 response has been very active, is that how you would
13 characterize it?

14 THE WITNESS: Yes. In fact, what we have
15 done because of this program and the positive viewpoint
16 we had of this program, we do an assessment of USAir
17 each year. It is something that we do in our office
18 independently of anyone above us asking us to do this.

19 About three years ago I asked the Safety
20 Department and the Internal Audit Check Airmen to come
21 in and be a part of our assessment. And so that as we
22 spend two weeks looking in depth at USAir within the
23 training programs and things like this, to spot check
24 for any trends or anything like that, the assessment
25 and the Internal Audit Check Airmen have been part of

1 our team itself.

2 That really helps because then it is not just
3 strictly the FAA looking at the carrier, it is the FAA
4 and the Internal Audit department. They are seeing the
5 problems with right us, and the corrective action has
6 been a lot quicker because of this program.

7 MR. LEONARD: Would you please refer to
8 Exhibit No. 2-0? That is another Advisory Circular,
9 120-56, which the "Air Carrier Voluntary Disclosure
10 Reporting Procedures." Could you briefly describe that
11 Advisory Circular and tell us what USAir's response has
12 been to that?

13 THE WITNESS: Yes. Again, this is being
14 handled through the USAir's Safety Department and, in
15 my case, on the ops side, directly with the Director of
16 Safety. As USAir itself finds areas of non-compliance,
17 they are able then to contact me to identify that, and
18 there is an elaborate process that we then go through,
19 and the carrier goes through to make sure that that
20 area is corrected. And the program actually is
21 utilized more on the maintenance side than it is on the
22 operations side, but we have utilized it and it has
23 been a very effective program.

24 MR. LEONARD: There have been operational
25 issues revealed through this program?

1 THE WITNESS: That is correct.

2 MR. LEONARD: Would you please refer to
3 Exhibit 2-P, "papa." That's another Advisory Circular,
4 120-51-A, "Crew Resource Management Training." I think
5 everyone here pretty well, pretty much has an idea of
6 what that is. I wondered if you could tell us how you
7 evaluate, assess USAir's adherence to that Advisory
8 Circular?

9 THE WITNESS: Well, USAir had the advantage
10 of having this Advisory Circular as they developed
11 their program. So right from the very start, they used
12 this guidance here and implemented their program in
13 accordance with the Advisory Circular.

14 The goal of, long-term goal of CRM is to have
15 CRM integrated into your program so much that you no
16 longer have to even identify it as something separate.
17 And my evaluation is that USAir is well on their way
18 down that path. They have gone beyond what is involved
19 here in this Advisory Circular.

20 MR. LEONARD: Will the implementation of the
21 Advisory Circular on advanced qualification for
22 training be a major part of what you just were talking
23 about?

24 THE WITNESS: That's correct. Actually, what
25 USAir has done within their training department at this

1 point is they have taken a step towards AQP in their
2 training programs, incorporating CRM and going beyond
3 what the Advisory Circular, and the next step really is
4 AQP.

5 MR. LEONARD: I would like to shift for a
6 moment, please, Mr. Bowden, to the flight crew training
7 and, specifically, your monitoring of and oversight of
8 the flight crew training in such areas as trend
9 analyses, proficiency check failures and general
10 thoughts along those lines as to how that relationship
11 is working and how it functions, your department?

12 THE WITNESS: Now, is this question just in
13 regards just to the training department?

14 MR. LEONARD: Correct. Just to the training
15 department itself, sir.

16 THE WITNESS: Basically, the primary effort
17 in this area is done by the air crew program managers.
18 They are involved on a day to day basis with their
19 individual training program and the check airmen
20 involved.

21 If you look at what is involved in one
22 training program, it is set up pretty much as a pyramid
23 system. You have, first of all, within the carrier,
24 you have you APD's Air Crew Program Designees. These
25 individuals are trained by the APM. They are trained--

1 MR. LEONARD: Excuse me. Those are USAir
2 pilots, correct?

3 THE WITNESS: They are USAir Check Airmen.

4 MR. LEONARD: Correct.

5 THE WITNESS: And they pretty much considered
6 to be the elite of the Check Airmen group. Of 200
7 Check Airmen within USAir, about 40 are APD's. These
8 Check Airmen are trained by the APM. They are trained
9 in how to give a type rating, how to give an oral
10 evaluation. And basically, when they do this, they are
11 working for the FAA. And so, they are under the
12 guidance of the APM as they are conducting these
13 activities.

14 Obviously, we do a lot of surveillance of
15 these individuals. In 1994, we did 99 observations,
16 surveillance activities on this group of 40
17 individuals. The Check Airmen group is another area
18 that, obviously, we are going to monitor very closely.
19 Captain Johnson already went through the steps that it
20 takes to be a Check Airman and told of the involvement
21 of the FAA in this process.

22 Our guidance is to look at a Check Airman
23 that is assigned to Pittsburgh at least once every two
24 years. So that means we would be required to do
25 somewhere around 60 observations of Check Airmen.

1 In our office we don't consider that to be
2 adequate. Our goal is to look at each Check Airman at
3 least once a year. In fact, in 1994 we did 476 Check
4 Airman observations and almost 85 percent of that was
5 done by the inspectors in our office.

6 So we far exceed what the criteria is because
7 the training program determines the product that is
8 going to be out on the line. And so it is very
9 important for us to do adequate surveillance on this.

10 Then when we go out on the line and we do our
11 in route inspections, what we are actually doing is we
12 are taking a snapshot of what is happening out there.
13 And if we see problems out there, then we are going to
14 go back and look at the training program, we are going
15 to look at procedures and see if, in fact, those
16 procedures are adequate.

17 MR. LEONARD: Take a specific maneuver at the
18 level of training, either initial training or
19 proficiency check type things. How are those trends of
20 maneuvers of that are, let's say that in which a trend
21 develops within an aircraft where their crews are
22 having problems with a certain maneuver. How are those
23 monitored by your APM and do you get involved or where
24 do you get involved in the loop on that?

25 THE WITNESS: Each different Flight Manager

1 has a Standardization Committee, and the APM is part of
2 that Standardization Committee.

3 MR. LEONARD: Who else is on that committee,
4 sir?

5 THE WITNESS: Usually, they are Senior Check
6 Airmen and then they have other Check Airmen within the
7 fleet and I believe at times they would have someone
8 from ALPA training department there as a representative
9 as well.

10 They discuss the standards. They would be
11 the ones that would look at the trend analysis from the
12 different programs to make sure that if there are any
13 deficiencies, they are addressed in the training
14 program.

15 MR. LEONARD: And USAir has training
16 simulators in other locations. How does your office
17 perform oversight functions in those areas, those other
18 locations?

19 THE WITNESS: What, basically Charlotte is
20 the other major training facility. There is an APM in
21 the office that is the APM on the F-28 and the
22 simulator is down in Charlotte, so this APM travels
23 down to Charlotte on a very regular basis.

24 On the 737 there are three APM's. There is
25 one that is assigned to the 737 200, there is one that

1 is assigned to the 737 300 and one to the 400. Now the
2 200 is a separate program from the 300-400. So what I
3 have done with the two APM's on the 737 300-400 is I
4 have assigned one specifically to Charlotte and the
5 other one to Pittsburgh. So that the individual that
6 is assigned to Charlotte goes to Charlotte on a regular
7 basis, and we do substantial surveillance in Charlotte
8 as well as Pittsburgh.

9 MR. LEONARD: Do you feel that your office
10 staffing is sufficient for your functions, numbers of
11 people?

12 THE WITNESS: I have an excellent staff. I
13 may have the best staff in the country. I am proud of
14 the staff. I have APM's with years of industry
15 experience. So the staff is very, very well qualified.

16 CHAIRMAN HALL: What is your ratio in terms
17 of your staff to the people you oversee and have
18 responsibility for oversight?

19 THE WITNESS: Well, I think there is a chart
20 in the exhibit that shows that we are basically in the
21 same ballpark as the other carriers are as far as our
22 staff is concerned. When you think of basically 13 of
23 us monitoring a carrier their size, it is an awesome
24 responsibility.

25 CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, then would you please

1 respond to the question, is that enough people or not?

2 THE WITNESS: It is enough people based upon
3 our surveillance assistance from the geographic
4 community, and based upon the partnership philosophy
5 that we have with the carrier.

6 Now, if you take away the partnership
7 philosophy and you want the FAA to get involved in
8 doing such things as giving the PC checks to the pilot
9 group, then all of a sudden -- or type ratings to all
10 the pilot group, then all of a sudden you quickly
11 realize that we need somewhere in the neighborhood of
12 40 additional inspectors to give the type ratings and
13 200 additional inspectors if we are going to start
14 doing all the work of the Check Airmen.

15 CHAIRMAN HALL: Is the safety partnership
16 something that is acknowledged in the regulations?

17 THE WITNESS: That is correct. And I think
18 it is very effective, and I think it is the way to go
19 and I am happy with the staff, my personal staff, the
20 way it is right now.

21 CHAIRMAN HALL: All right. Please proceed.

22 MR. LEONARD: Thank you. Earlier this
23 morning Captain Traub presented United Advanced
24 Maneuver Package training. What is your reaction to
25 that training, Mr. Bowden?

1 THE WITNESS: I think it has some excellent
2 areas in it. I think what you are really dealing in is
3 a philosophy difference between what we have been
4 working under in the past with the Appendix F maneuvers
5 and what we are currently working with and what the
6 future holds with the AQP philosophy.

7 The AQP philosophy allows a carrier to take a
8 look at other areas other than just strictly the
9 Appendix F maneuvers, do task analysis on them and
10 decide if they are appropriate for that particular
11 carrier.

12 As you are well aware, the FAA has already, I
13 believe there has already been a letter from Tony
14 Broderick where the FAA will co-sponsor with the ATA
15 this committee, and so I look forward to seeing how
16 this develops in the future.

17 MR. LEONARD: Mr. Bowden, based upon your
18 experience and relationship with this airline and the
19 accident that occurred in September, is there anything
20 else you would like to share with us at this time?

21 THE WITNESS: No, sir.

22 MR. LEONARD: Thank you very kindly. I have
23 no more questions.

24 CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you very much.

25 Do any of the parties have questions for this

1 witness? I see one hand. All right, Captain LeGrow
2 with the Airline Pilots Association, please proceed.

3 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I
4 will make it very brief.

5 Good morning, Mr. Bowden.

6 THE WITNESS: Good morning.

7 CAPTAIN LeGROW: You referred to earlier in
8 your testimony from Mr. Leonard, you made reference to
9 the Altitude Awareness Program that was developed at
10 USAir. Could you tell us, is this a program that is
11 used just at USAir?

12 THE WITNESS: This program began at USAir,
13 and I believe USAir was the first one that instituted
14 the program. Last year I was involved in a meeting
15 with ALPA and the company and Alaska Airlines. I know
16 Alaska Airlines brought their POI and their management
17 people and ALPA representatives to USAir to find out
18 what the program consisted of. I had one of them
19 approach me this week telling me how effective the
20 program has become for Alaska Airlines and thanking me
21 for my involvement in that piece.

22 I believe American Airlines also has adopted
23 some of these same procedures. So it has spread to
24 other carriers, yes.

25 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Thank you And USAir was the

1 leader in that and it has developed into other airlines
2 then, is that correct?

3 THE WITNESS: That is correct.

4 CAPTAIN LeGROW: You mentioned, you have
5 mentioned several times ALPA and I appreciate that, and
6 you mentioned your relationship with the carrier.
7 Could you just briefly explain how the pilots or the
8 association fits into the picture as far as their
9 relationship with management, the FAA, in the safety
10 and training areas?

11 THE WITNESS: I am not sure I follow your
12 question on that.

13 CAPTAIN LeGROW: I guess what I am trying to
14 say, the question I am trying to ask is what the
15 relationship with the pilots and the company and the
16 FAA. Could you just speak briefly with ALPA, the FAA
17 and the company in the training and safety areas?

18 THE WITNESS: Well, I think in all these
19 issues it is extremely important. What we have done
20 since the Altitude Awareness Program is we have kept
21 that same philosophy. And so in some cases, when there
22 are incidents that happen out there that are not
23 deliberate in nature, we have worked with the ALPA
24 safety group and management and the line pilots have
25 actually come into the FAA office and have sat down

1 with us and have discussed exactly what happened out
2 there on the line.

3 That certainly is not a good feeling for the
4 line pilot to be at the FAA office. However, my
5 experience has been that because of this Altitude
6 Awareness Program, because of the trust that has built
7 up between the parties involved, the line pilots have
8 come in and have been extremely open and honest about
9 what has happened. That has allowed us to find out why
10 it happened and then this information has been put out
11 both by USAir management and by ALPA in your magazine
12 and has been very helpful for all the pilots within
13 USAir.

14 CAPTAIN LeGROW: I thank you. Just one more
15 area I want to touch briefly on. You were here for the
16 testimony on some of the, on Captain Johnson's
17 testimony on the changes to the checklist as far as the
18 yaw damper is concerned. In your responsibility, you
19 approve that checklist, is that correct?

20 THE WITNESS: That is correct.

21 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Your signature is on the
22 checklist. Could you briefly just describe how the
23 Equipment Manager or Captain Johnson, whomever
24 approached you or your staff to make the changes and
25 why the changes were made to move it to the emergency

1 checklist?

2 THE WITNESS: Yes. That normally comes, the
3 interaction is normally between the Flight Manager and
4 the APM and that took place in this case. It was
5 within the past week or two I believe that I actually
6 signed off the emergency checklist. I did that because
7 of the recommendation from the APM.

8 I have heard the discussion here on that area
9 this morning. Basically, USAir operates the airplane
10 in line operations and they are required to do what is
11 in the best interests of the safety of their
12 passengers.

13 There are times when an emergency checklist
14 might differ from what is in the AFM. The instance I
15 can think of from my background on the DC-9 is with
16 engine fire. I believe the AFM says that the first
17 step in engine fire is to reach up and pull the fire
18 T-handle.

19 Well, in actual line operations, most engine
20 fires on the DC-9 are actually bleed leaks. So,
21 therefore, what USAir's emergency procedure consists of
22 is pulling the throttle back to idle first to see if
23 the light goes out. And then if the light does not go
24 out, then you pull the fire handle.

25 Well, in line operations, with passengers on

1 board, that is a higher level of safety because the
2 engine at idle can be utilized later on if need be. In
3 this case what USAir's concern was on the 737 was that
4 there were reported cases where there may have been a
5 hard over rudder and by turning the yaw damper switch
6 off, it took care of the problem.

7 Whether that is true or not, I don't know,
8 but the feeling was that it was important for the USAir
9 pilots to know this verbatim rather than to have to
10 open up their manual and go to a manual, because in an
11 emergency situation like that, if there is ever a hard
12 over rudder, there is no time to go to the manual.

13 CAPTAIN LeGROW: Thank you. I have no
14 further questions.

15 CHAIRMAN HALL: Is that all, Captain?

16 Any other questions from the parties? If
17 not, Mr. Marx?

18 MR. MARX: No questions.

19 CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Clark?

20 MR. CLARK: No questions.

21 CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Schleede?

22 MR. SCHLEEDE: I have no questions.

23 CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Laynor.

24 MR. LAYNOR: No questions.

25 CHAIRMAN HALL: I just have a few questions,

1 sir. My understanding is that you have been in this
2 position with, as the Principal Operating Inspector for
3 the FAA with USAir since December of 1990, is that
4 correct?

5 THE WITNESS: That is correct.

6 CHAIRMAN HALL: I would appreciate it if you
7 could kind of explain to me basically what your
8 responsibilities are, how you see the role you are
9 performing for the FAA in the capacity you presently
10 occupy?

11 THE WITNESS: Basically, I am a supervisor.
12 Since I have become the POI I no longer receive the
13 technical training. I no longer go to recurrent
14 training or get aircraft training any longer, I rely on
15 the technical experts, the APM's and the other
16 administrative people in the office.

17 My role then is to take their technical
18 expertise and to approve training programs. I deal
19 with the operations specifications, the approval
20 process on the ops specs, and basically to be the
21 liaison between USAir and the FAA on all operational
22 issues.

23 CHAIRMAN HALL: And with what objective or
24 purpose, to be sure they are training correctly,
25 operating correctly, generally what?

1 THE WITNESS: Well, there's two major areas
2 involved. One is to ensure that they are in compliance
3 with the regulations and that is first and foremost.
4 And the second major area would be to ensure that they
5 have a high quality training program.

6 CHAIRMAN HALL: What measures would you use
7 to determine whether they are in compliance with the
8 regulations and whether they have a high quality safety
9 program?

10 THE WITNESS: Well, the surveillance program
11 would be the means that we check on this. We do
12 surveillance within our office. The geographic
13 inspectors throughout the country do surveillance. I
14 believe there were somewhere in the neighborhood of
15 4,000 surveillance activities on USAir last year in the
16 operations area. All of these reports come through me.
17 If there are any write-ups on USAir, if there are any
18 negative trends, they all come through me and we do
19 continuous trend analysis on this area.

20 CHAIRMAN HALL: And essentially you are using
21 measures that are outlined in the regulations in terms
22 of evaluating their safety performance and their
23 overall performance?

24 THE WITNESS: That's correct. We are looking
25 at a lot of different areas. We are looking at manual

1 procedures, we do cockpit in routes, we do ramp checks,
2 we do cabin in routes. We review the training
3 programs, the Check Airmen. We watch the PC's that
4 take place on line captains and we watch the designees,
5 so there is a whole different realm, a large area that
6 we take a look at.

7 CHAIRMAN HALL: I wanted to get into that in
8 a little detail because I think one of the witnesses
9 mentioned, you know, and I think all of us indeed can
10 point that this is an extremely, airline safety in this
11 country is extremely safe and it is safe because over
12 the years, you know, a system has been put in place
13 that has brought about a high level of safety. But
14 that work continues and you indicated that the
15 appointment of the General, that there has been some
16 restructuring within USAir in terms of their safety
17 operation. How does that impact you in your role?

18 THE WITNESS: Well, for the most part I have
19 had a very good relationship with the safety
20 department, so that under normal conditions, I would
21 deal directly with the Director of Safety and not with
22 General Oaks.

23 There are those situations, the General
24 mentioned ground safety. Well, there are those
25 incidents that take place that involve not only a

1 flight crew but ground personnel as well. And so it is
2 going to make it much easier to get involved in some of
3 these other areas of safety that involve maybe a
4 mechanic and a pilot, or a mechanic and a utility
5 person that is pushing back an airplane. So when these
6 incidents come up, we can, we will have a form to go
7 through to deal with it.

8 CHAIRMAN HALL: Have you had a meeting with
9 General Oaks, have you sat down and met with him yet?

10 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

11 CHAIRMAN HALL: On how many occasions?

12 THE WITNESS: Three or four different
13 occasions.

14 CHAIRMAN HALL: Good. Does anyone else have
15 questions for this witness?

16 If not, Mr. Bowden, you are excused. Thank
17 you very much for your testimony.

18 (Witness excused.)

19 CHAIRMAN HALL: At this point I would like to
20 ask Mr. Haueter, I believe he has furnished to all the
21 parties a list of action items that have been
22 identified that need to be accomplished. I would like
23 to ask Mr. Haueter to go through those action items.
24 And to the extent that we can specify a time period in
25 which we all will work to have that item completed, I

1 would like to make note of that.

2 Mr. Haueter.

3 MR. HAUETER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

4 These are actions items that have been
5 developed during the public hearing. First, do all
6 parties have a copy? Is the wake vortex flight test
7 using the FAA 272 and a Boeing provided bailed 737.
8 This will be a FAA-Boeing-NTSB activity. Tom Jacky,
9 the Performance Group will be working on that group.
10 An exact date, I would expect within the next two
11 months.

12 Any of that parties --

13 CHAIRMAN HALL: We expect to have that work
14 accomplished within 60 days?

15 MR. HAUETER: We will certainly try, sir.
16 Depending, we will have to make some arrangements on
17 aircraft crews and things like that.

18 CHAIRMAN HALL: I understand what we are try
19 to do here is set a goal for ourselves and we may --
20 but let's all at least have a goal and a deadline to
21 work to on each one of these.

22 MR. HAUETER: Okay.

23 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay.

24 MR. HAUETER: The next item is on the
25 Critical Design Review Team, the letter, their charter

1 letter, FAA. Do you have a goal when you might --

2 MR. DONNER: Next week.

3 MR. HAUETER: We will have that next week I
4 understand.

5 Next is the Critical Design Review Team's
6 final report from the FAA.

7 CHAIRMAN HALL: John had a question.

8 MR. HAUETER: I'm sorry. John.

9 MR. PURVIS: What date?

10 MR. HAUETER: No. 2 is the charter letter for
11 the Critical Design Review Team.

12 I can't hear you, sir.

13 MR. PURVIS: What was the date?

14 MR. HAUETER: Next week they said they would
15 provide it.

16 And for the final report from the CDR Team?

17 MR. DONNER: March 31st.

18 MR. HAUETER: March 31st for the final
19 report.

20 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. That's the Critical
21 Design Review Team final report March 31st.

22 MR. HAUETER: Yes. From Boeing is the
23 further refinement of the FDR back drive data for USAir
24 Flight 427.

25 Mr. Purvis, when do you think that might be

1 accomplished?

2 MR. PURVIS: Two months.

3 MR. HAUETER: Two months, 60 days.

4 CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you.

5 MR. HAUETER: Further refinement of the FDR
6 kinematic study for USAir Flight 427, a Boeing
7 activity?

8 MR. PURVIS: We are giving you very tight
9 numbers, I don't know if we can meet them, but another,
10 we'll take two months on that one too.

11 MR. HAUETER: Okay. Flight recorder, flight
12 data recorder information from all post-accident flight
13 tests conducted by Boeing?

14 MR. PURVIS: Two weeks.

15 MR. HAUETER: Two weeks. Plots of the flight
16 data recorder data or quick access recorder data from
17 any or all Air France incidents or yaw damper
18 occurrences, that's both Boeing and NTSB.

19 MR. PURVIS: We'll have that next week.

20 MR. HAUETER: Next week.

21 MR. PURVIS: Yeah.

22 CHAIRMAN HALL: Seven days?

23 MR. PURVIS: Seven days. Yeah, we have to
24 get some cleaned up copies.

25 MR. HAUETER: Okay.

1 MR. PURVIS: Okay. For us it is only, we
2 don't have the QAR data, we have just the flight
3 recorder data.

4 MR. CLARK: Chairman Hall.

5 CHAIRMAN HALL: Yes. Mr. Clark. Can we have
6 this microphone, please, for Mr. Clark?

7 MR. CLARK: Mr. Jacky is at this moment in
8 the process of gathering QAR data. We expect it to be
9 arriving at Dulles sometime this afternoon and we will
10 be making arrangements with the Penny and Giles people
11 who manufacture the QAR type recorder to further
12 process the data.

13 So we are going to proceed ahead. We are not
14 sure, it is happening rapidly, how we are going to pull
15 all the groups together, but we are proceeding ahead
16 and I am sure we will get the groups pulled together as
17 quickly as possible.

18 CHAIRMAN HALL: Very well.

19 MR. PURVIS: So what is our action, the
20 Boeing action on this one then?

21 MR. CLARK: Right now I can't tell you what
22 our action is going to be because we have got to get
23 the tape. We are unsure of the format the tape is
24 going to be in. We are going to try to get to a Penny
25 and Giles facility, there are some here in the country.

1 And if we can start getting data out, then we will be
2 better able to advise you on what assistance we need.

3 MR. PURVIS: Okay. I think what we can do is
4 just support Mr. Clark.

5 CHAIRMAN HALL: That is really just our
6 action, right, John?

7 MR. CLARK: Yes.

8 CHAIRMAN HALL: Once they give us the data,
9 is there anything else we need to do, we need Boeing to
10 do?

11 MR. CLARK: We don't need necessarily Boeing
12 or any of the other parties to do anything, but we do
13 try to conduct all of these in the party arrangement
14 where they each have a representative available and we
15 will proceed as quickly as we can. And we do that
16 routinely. We will be making progress and then get the
17 parties together at an appropriate time.

18 CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, we are the responsible
19 party in this item, so when do we think, let's put a
20 time frame on it we think we can complete that. Thirty
21 days?

22 MR. CLARK: Thirty days.

23 MR. HAUETER: Yes, sir.

24 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay.

25 MR. HAUETER: But I guess the key, one of the

1 issues, Boeing will provide us their data in seven
2 days, then we will also proceed with this, the plots
3 that they have on hand.

4 MR. CLARK: Yes, Boeing has provided us some
5 plots already. They are going to search their files,
6 provide us the rest of the data that they may have in
7 their archives and then we are proceeding with the raw
8 data.

9 MR. HAUETER: The next item is any back drive
10 kinetic study of the FDR data from incidents, accidents
11 related to USAir Flight 427 from Boeing.

12 CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Purvis, can you help us
13 with the data on that one or a time frame?

14 MR. PURVIS: What is it you, other than what
15 is said there, do you have any specifics of what you
16 are looking for?

17 MR. HAUETER: Just get it from John. Mr.
18 Clark, who is --

19 MR. PURVIS: We have no kinematic studies I
20 am told, on the other accidents.

21 CHAIRMAN HALL: Could we please have Mr.
22 Clark's microphone?

23 MR. CLARK: Part of the request was to
24 explore some of the upsets, specifically Colorado
25 Springs, and we recognize there's serious limitations

1 in that data, but to explore the possibility of using
2 the kinematic approach to examine the data we do have.
3 So that is one area. And then there may be some
4 validation on other -- one kinematic area that would be
5 of interest, for example, possibly looking at the QAR
6 data to validate the kinematic approach for examining
7 rudder output. But that is ill-defined at this time
8 and I am not sure we can put a number on all of that.

9 MR. PURVIS: I am not sure we can put a
10 number on it either. It depends on what kind of data
11 we have and how many incidents we are looking at.

12 MR. CLARK: Yes.

13 CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, surely within 90 days
14 we would know whether we have anything or not, right?

15 MR. PURVIS: Yes, sir.

16 CHAIRMAN HALL: Put 90 days on that item.

17 MR. HAUETER: Next on the list is the list of
18 Boeing recommended flight data recorder parameters and
19 sampling rates.

20 CHAIRMAN HALL: That is the letter that was
21 distributed yesterday to all the parties in regard to
22 the flight data recorders. I have been advised that
23 February 3rd may be a difficult data to meet, is that
24 correct?

25 MR. PURVIS: It is going to be tough for us.

1 It turns out, by the way, that airplanes going out the
2 door today have something in excess of a hundred
3 parameters. We said 31.

4 CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, I want to get a, I want
5 to get the Board's quick attention to this subject
6 matter. However, we have heard from other
7 manufacturers and other, and I think we would like to
8 get the input from the Airline Transport Association.
9 So let's make the date of that February 15th.

10 Or let's make it February 14th and that will
11 be our Valentine's Day present to the people Let's say
12 February 14th.

13 Okay. Mr. Haueter.

14 MR. HAUETER: Next is the simulator study of
15 the body effects on wake turbulence vortices for the
16 Boeing 737. It's a Boeing plane activity.

17 MR. PURVIS: Sixty days, two months.

18 CHAIRMAN HALL: Sixty days on that item.

19 MR. HAUETER: Next is, for us it is the
20 Honeywell tilt-table studies. Mr. Jacky.

21 MR. JACKY: The tentative date is March 2.

22 MR. HAUETER: March 2nd is the tentative date
23 for them.

24 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay, March 2nd.

25 MR. HAUETER: The copied voice recorder

1 background noise, flight test work and spectrum
2 analysis, NTSB, Boeing. I suspect that within 60 days,
3 sir. Mr. Jim Cash is working on that.

4 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay, 60 days on that item.

5 MR. HAUETER: From Boeing, the number one
6 slot deployment wind tunnel aerodynamic study.

7 MR. PURVIS: Sixty days.

8 CHAIRMAN HALL: Sixty days. Thank you.

9 MR. HAUETER: From Boeing, the report on the
10 reporting process action taken on customer service
11 incidents, service difficulties and how service
12 information is processed through Boeing, including a
13 description of the ASAP and the AIR programs.

14 MR. PURVIS: That, I think I would combine
15 that with the one of the middle of the page,
16 organization diagram and full description of air safety
17 data reporting system. They are similar things, and we
18 will combine those and do it in a month.

19 MR. HAUETER: Thirty days?

20 MR. PURVIS: Yeah.

21 CHAIRMAN HALL: Thirty days, thank you.

22 MR. HAUETER: Report on Boeing 747, elevator
23 PCU chip-shear test to clear up the discrepancy between
24 recent chip-shear tests that showed marks versus page
25 six of Exhibit 9-A-D that cites previous Boeing tests

1 which indicated no marks from hard materials.

2 MR. PURVIS: One week.

3 MR. HAUETER: One week. The report on Boeing
4 737 rudder involved upsets, in terms of the numbers of
5 upset reports.

6 MR. PURVIS: We are not really sure where
7 that one came from. Specifically, what is it? Is it a
8 review of that list of 187 items or what?

9 MR. HAUETER: A list of that and also looking
10 at the other data bases within Boeing to see if there's
11 other ones that were not reported in that list.

12 CHAIRMAN HALL: Any additional reports that
13 Boeing has gotten, whether they made it under that
14 category or didn't make it under that category, that
15 might be something we want to look at.

16 MR. PURVIS: See, the problem is this, well,
17 we had the discussion yesterday on data bases and
18 whether things were in the data base. That one item
19 that kicked it off was the Air France item, which
20 turned out was properly not in that data base.

21 CHAIRMAN HALL: John, what we are trying to
22 do here, and I am going to make a clarification as soon
23 as we finish this list, on some reports on yesterday's
24 activities. But what we want to do is to have you go
25 look, as Mr. McGrew and I discussed yesterday, into

1 every crack, and if there is anything that looks like
2 -- it looks like a duck, you know, let's bring it up,
3 whether it is, the computer is a duck or not.

4 MR. PURVIS: It is a big job. We are having
5 a debate whether we can do it in 60 or it is going to
6 take 90.

7 CHAIRMAN HALL: Let's say 90 days.

8 MR. PURVIS: Ninety days, yeah.

9 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay.

10 MR. PURVIS: A lot of that is going to be
11 manual, unfortunately.

12 CHAIRMAN HALL: I understand.

13 MR. HAUETER: We combined the next item down.
14 Following is a complete, full dimensional checks of the
15 rudder PCU and several valves, NTSB, Boeing. Mr.
16 Phillips tell me that can be done in 30 days.

17 CHAIRMAN HALL: Thirty days. Thank you.

18 MR. HAUETER: Complete maintenance history of
19 the PCU from Flight 427, that's USAir and Parker might
20 need a little help on that.

21 CAPTAIN SHARP: Seven days is plenty for us.
22 We have already --

23 CHAIRMAN HALL: How many?

24 CAPTAIN SHARP: Seven days is plenty for us.
25 We have already got the record.

1 MR. HAUETER: And from Parker --

2 CHAIRMAN HALL: Seven days. Did I miss the
3 complete, full dimensional checks of PCU's?

4 MR. HAUETER: No, we -- that's one we just
5 mentioned previously. The 30 days. The organizational
6 diagram.

7 CHAIRMAN HALL: It's been a long week. I
8 just didn't hear that. Okay. Go ahead.

9 MR. HAUETER: And from Parker, how long do
10 you think we can get the records on that PCU?

11 MR. WEIK: It is under my understanding it
12 has already been submitted. I thought that was part of
13 the public docket here. So I don't know if we already
14 have it. But we can resubmit it with a week.

15 CHAIRMAN HALL: We are talking about the
16 complete -- which one are on now, Tom?

17 MR. HAUETER: The complete maintenance
18 history of the PCU from Flight 427.

19 MR. WEIK: We can resubmit it within a week.

20 MR. HAUETER: We'll check that, have it
21 within seven days. We may already have it.

22 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay.

23 MR. HAUETER: The Sahara Airlines Boeing 737
24 accident data to be entered into the public record.
25 The data that NTSB and Boeing has, we will combine that

1 and put into the public docket. That we should be able
2 to complete I would think in 30 days.

3 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay.

4 MR. HAUETER: And finally the viewgraphs used
5 by George Green to be entered into the public docket.

6 MR. GREEN: Monday.

7 MR. HAUETER: We'll do that on Monday I
8 understand.

9 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Those other little
10 miscellaneous items that we had discussed that are
11 going to be entered in the docket, I don't think they
12 need to be part of this list, but we just need to be
13 sure they are all there and available.

14 MR. HAUETER: I would like to point out this
15 list is what we picked up during the public hearing.
16 There are still activities that will be going on by the
17 various groups, particularly Performance and Systems,
18 that are included here, but I think we should be able
19 to conclude those within a 90 day time frame.

20 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay.

21 MR. PURVIS: Mr. Chairman.

22 CHAIRMAN HALL: Yes, sir.

23 MR. PURVIS: One quick question. Maybe this
24 falls in the miscellaneous category, maybe Mr. Leonard
25 can answer it.

1 One of the dockets, or one of the exhibits in the
2 docket, 2-A-1, which was an appendice to the Operation
3 Group Factual Report, talked about, I think it was crew
4 training records, and they are not in here yet. Do you
5 know when they will be available?

6 It is Appendices A through Q. It says just
7 to be available at a later date.

8 MR. LEONARD: Yes.

9 CHAIRMAN HALL: Could you please get Mr.
10 Leonard's microphone, please?

11 MR. LEONARD: Yes, John, they should be
12 available fully, put on our system within seven days,
13 sir. They will be available.

14 MR. HAUETER: That's all the action items I
15 know of at this time, sir.

16 CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Haueter, it is my
17 understanding that you will continue, beginning next
18 Wednesday, with your weekly conference calls with the
19 parties, is that correct?

20 MR. HAUETER: If the parties wish it, the
21 last few weeks have been dying off, but that certainly
22 can be arranged, sir.

23 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Well, if you would
24 start it again, I would appreciate it.

25 MR. HAUETER: Okay.

1 CHAIRMAN HALL: See if we can't proceed.

2 MR. HAUETER: We'll have to get with the
3 Boeing people and arrange the number again and what is
4 happening there.

5 CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Are there any other
6 comments from any of the parties to this investigation?

7 Yes, sir.

8 MR. PURVIS: Are you going to talk about my
9 concern over the data?

10 CHAIRMAN HALL: I am going to address that in
11 just a minute.

12 MR. PURVIS: Okay. Thank you.

13 CHAIRMAN HALL: Are there comments from the
14 Technical Panel?

15 MR. HAUETER: No, sir.

16 CHAIRMAN HALL: Are there comments from any
17 of the individuals up here? Mr. Marx, Mr. Schleede,
18 Mr. Clark, Mr. Laynor?

19 (No response.)

20 CHAIRMAN HALL: Very well. It has been
21 brought to my attention by Mr. Purvis that some of the
22 characterizations of yesterday's hearing inferred that,
23 in looking here at one headline, that there is a
24 possibility that the Chairman felt that data had been
25 withheld by the Boeing corporation. I want to clarify

1 that that is not the opinion of the Chairman.

2 It was the opinion of the Chairman there was
3 a miscommunication in terms of our request for the
4 data. But the Chairman wanted to be sure that all of
5 the data that might be of assistance to us in this
6 investigation, as Mr. McGrew and I talked about, and I
7 think we refer to that as kind of looking in every
8 crack, that we went back and looked in every crack.

9 I didn't have a basic understanding of how
10 your process, yesterday, of how you put your
11 information in your computer. But we know the incident
12 that occurred, at least with your assistance we have a
13 better understanding, and the parties' assistance, of
14 what occurred. We need to be sure that anything in the
15 very long and very successful history of this aircraft
16 that might be there that might lead us in the proper
17 direction to find the probable cause of this accident,
18 that we have exhausted that.

19 So I regret that we have had a
20 mischaracterization of what took place and I hope that
21 that will correct it.

22 Let me say to all the parties that, while I
23 greatly appreciate your assistance in this
24 investigation, and we all are aware that without your
25 assistance, and the dollars and cooperation that you

1 have expended, that there is no way that the National
2 Transportation Safety Board, with our own resources,
3 could undertake an investigation of this magnitude.

4 However, having said that, it is also clear
5 that the American people look to the National
6 Transportation Safety Board to ensure that this is an
7 independent investigation and that it is as thorough
8 and complete an investigation as can be accomplished,
9 and that we make a full report to the American people.

10 In closing, I want to emphasize that this
11 investigation will remain open to receive, at any time,
12 new and pertinent information concerning the issues
13 presented. The Board may at its discretion, reopen the
14 hearing in order that such information may be made a
15 part of the public record.

16 The Board welcomes any information or
17 recommendations from the parties or the public which
18 may assist in its efforts to ensure the safe operation
19 of commercial aircraft. Any such recommendations
20 should be sent to the National Transportation Safety
21 Board, Washington, D.C. 20594, to Mr. Thomas Haueter's
22 attention.

23 Normally, they should be received 30 days
24 after the receipt of the transcript of this hearing.
25 However, since there are still investigation activities

1 open in this case, many of which we have just reviewed,
2 Mr. Haueter will notify the parties when the final
3 submissions are due.

4 All the evidence developed in this
5 investigation and hearing, and all recommendations
6 received within the specified time, will be presented
7 and evaluated in the final report on USAir Flight 427
8 in which the National Transportation Safety Board's
9 determination of the probable cause will be stated.

10 On behalf of the National Transportation
11 Safety Board, I want to thank again the parties for
12 their cooperation, specifically the Boeing Commercial
13 Airplane Group, Parker Hannifin Corporation, the
14 Airline Pilots Association, USAir Incorporated, the
15 Federal Aviation Administration, the International
16 Association of Machinists and the Monsanto Company.
17 And I want to thank you not only for your patience
18 during this proceeding but your assistance throughout
19 the entire investigation.

20 And I want to express since appreciation to
21 all those groups, persons, corporations and agencies
22 who have provided their talents so willingly throughout
23 this hearing. And I would be remiss if I did not
24 mention at this time the people of Pittsburgh,
25 specifically, the emergency responders and individuals

1 who assisted at the scene of this accident and assisted
2 us so ably in trying to do the reconstruction of the
3 aircraft which was shown to the press yesterday.

4 The record of the investigations, including
5 the transcript of the hearing and all exhibits entered
6 into the record, will become part of the Safety Board's
7 public docket on this accident and will be available
8 for inspection by the public at the Safety Board's
9 Washington office. Anyone wanting to purchase the
10 transcripts may contact the court reporter directly.
11 Remember, this includes the parties who must order
12 their own transcripts.

13 I wish to acknowledge the attendance at this
14 hearing of a number of family members of the victims in
15 this accident. I had what I thought was a fruitful
16 meeting with you early this week, explaining the role
17 of the Board in this investigation, and we were able to
18 arrange a tour of the hangar for you yesterday.

19 I trust that you have come to appreciate our
20 commitment to take all the information we have learned
21 here, in addition to the thousands of pages of exhibits
22 we have already compiled, back to Washington to
23 determine the cause of this accident and to develop
24 safety recommendations that will hopefully prevent such
25 an accident in the future. We do this on your behalf,

1 on behalf of the American people, and on behalf of the
2 worldwide aviation community.

3 Yesterday I sent a letter to all the parties
4 requesting their assistance in evaluating the best ways
5 to improve the data recording capabilities on thousands
6 of airliners that have, what we consider inadequate
7 flight data recorders. We asked the parties not only
8 to tell us what parameters would be the most vital to
9 retrofit, but also to evaluate the practicality of
10 video type recorders as well. I have asked for this
11 information by February 14th so the Board can begin
12 evaluating this issue and make a possible
13 recommendation as soon as possible.

14 While much of the testimony at this hearing
15 centered on the operation of the aircraft's rudder
16 system, the Board will continue to pursue many avenues
17 of inquiry in our search to solve this highly complex
18 and difficult investigation. Later this year the
19 entire Safety Board will consider a final report on
20 this accident during a meeting open to the public at
21 our headquarters in Washington, D.C.

22 I now declare this hearing to be in recess
23 indefinitely.

24 (Whereupon, the hearing was recessed sine
25 die.)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14